Class.

App. F. IX—4 19 . File No. PWD 9 DRP 57

(.)

Previous References. Serial Nos.

Later References.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
(MAJOR IRRIGATION)
Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore.

Part B for

UBJECT

Dharma project - Scrutiny by S.T.A.C. — orders issued.

27.5.57 / 4.4.61

WD P04708—GPB—1,00,000—24-11-60

Jakunta 4/4/61

Bombay Govt. file no. 4160/49

Administrative approval of the Govt. of Bombay has been accorded to the estimate of Rs. 67,35,151/- for the Dharma Sagar Resr. project. — Pgs 57 to 59 C.P. The project has now received technical C.W. & P.C. clearance of the ~~planning Comm~~. The CE, MDN, has been asked to forward the Estimate for being placed before the STAC.

— NO action is required on this file. May be recorded.

27/5/57

3

Though CE (MN) has been advised to forward the Estimate for being placed before the S.T.A.C, it is a point for consideration whether the projects to be taken up for execution in the merged areas of the New State will have to be put before the S.T.A.C, which was formed prior to the formation of the New State and hence meant for projects in the previous State. The S.T.A.C will have to be perhaps reconstituted, having CE (MN) also as one of its members so as to suit the changed conditions. For Perusal.

28/5/57

Under Secy may also see for remarks

29/5/57

Under Secy (PWD) (on leave)

Dy. Secy (PWD)

No. M.D. 81 30/5/57

SECRETARIAT No. 4160/49 30 May 1957 PUBLIC WORKS, GENERAL IRRIGATION BRANCH BOMBAY

5) The question of expanding the STDC is receiving under consideration. Till then is expanded & recalibrated I think there should be no objection to these projects being taken up by merged areas going ceforethem. The CE MIM will however be invited to attend all such meetings.

May not this be done?

Secy (PW)

3/6

H. CHANNIAH
Dy Secy P.W. Deptt

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
PUBLIC DEPTT.
Date 3/6/85

6) I think there be been a reference from CE MIM about the Nagari Basavanahalli project. He by said, if I remember correctly, that no scrutiny by STDC is necessary

3/6

7) Write to Asst Secy MI further enlighten on the point. i.e decision taken on the Recommendation of CE MIM.

5/6/85

Dharma Project

Mysore Government Secretariat

B'bay Govt File No. MSP 29 of 195 PWD 4 SAC 57

[App. F. III 2

145 D

Serial No.

8. Submitted with ref. to para 6 & 7 ante. The reference referred to herein has been received in the C.I Secretariat. This may therefore be marked to that Section.

Sd/- 14/6/57 U/S. P.W.D

9) Pl. refer para 7 ante. 17.6.57

10) The CE (M.D.N) has opined that the examination of the Hagadi Ponnuvachalli Scheme by the S.T.A.C. may be waived. The connected file is linked and put up.

17/6/57 17/6/57

11) Even the Schemes as that had old Plg some were have been examined by CE's. are being placed before S.T.A.C.. Even projects referred to by C.E. P.C it was the practice of S.T.A.C presided over by Chief Engr. should be placed before the S.T.A.C. Why in fact the Executive Engineer in their recommendation to the Planning Engineer (not) which readily on M.I.N. as one of the S.T.A.C practice needs) has initiated the set up " S.T.A.C

GOVERNMENT PWD 18/6 ELEC DEPTT.

Note.—Contn. Sheet

File No. PWD 4 SBC — of 1957.

Mysore Government
Secretariat

Serial No.



in the State and have
recommended to other States/Centre
while the heavy duties, Indent fee,
Duty these projects should be exempted
from the purview of the S.T.A.C

Secy (PD) 17/6

(a) U/s Pl. discuss with all
such cases where sanction has already
been accorded by CWPC or which already
and which are proposed to be referred to
STAC

Sri Veerappa
perhaps all (both
17/6
20/6
22/6
25/6(AP)

(b) For kind information of
the Hon'ble Minister for
Irrigation & Power the S.T.A.C.
and their functions.

M.S.M. (P)

CW (GVRB)
PB 6/6
17/6
20/6

VD 0136—GPB—800 pads of 100 shts. each—1-6-57

File No. PWD 4 SAC of 1957.

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

Change in adm^n from ~~as~~ erstwhile Bombay Govt to the present Mysore Govt, since it has to go before S.T.A.C.

N.S. Mel

11/10

14/10/57

VBB

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 6819
12 OCT 19..
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

In view of the U.S. and Secy. S.T.A.C's remarks in para 17 supra, it is for consideration whether the CE/ICs may be informed accordingly

14/10/57

(by) From para 6 onwards, the file may pl. be perused.

15/10/57

D/S plus
Sep pure

2) Had not the former Bombay Govt given administrative approval for the project? Is there any G.O. issued after 1-11-56 saying that all projects costing above 10 lakhs should be referred to the S.T.A.C.? (A)

17/10/57

Pl. attend enhancement sheet & put up

N. 18/10/57

Mysore Government Secretariat

File No. [illegible] of 195 .)

[App. F. III 2

4163/41

Serial No.	
14)	Submitted as directed by the V.S. A copy of letter of even date from EMC(R) dt 12/6/69, requesting Govt. that the Dharma Scheme need not be placed before the S.T.A.C, and that he has already accorded technical sanction is put up for perusal at 7
	No decision has so far been taken as regards the exemption of this Project from the purview of the STAC However Civil Section may please see for confirmation
	Sd/- [illegible] 8/10/69
	As the proposals for the Electrical work for this project have also been recently appd by FD & M(PWD) and the concerned file has been submitted to the CM, as it involves the creation of a new Division
	6 Administrative Sanction was accorded to this project by the former Bombay Govt. and no fresh administrative Sanction is accorded by this Govt pl. refer para 13 ante.
	T[illegible]/S-(G+I) & Secy I T&C.
	Sd/- 8/10/69
15)	This is a project costing Rs 67.35 lakhs. As from administration is to see from which I consider it necessary in view of the

Note.—Contn. Sheet

Dharma Project

Mysore Government
Secretariat

[App. F. III 2

File No. PWD 4 SA 6 of 195).

6819/M

Serial No. 21) Reembursement

22) 16/10/57 The former Bombay Govt. have already accorded administrative appl to the scheme. Re: (A) in para 20 ante, Secy S.T.A.C., may pl. see for records.

Secy S.T.A.C. & U/s. (GII)

18/10/57

Place a copy of 1090 A.D. S.T.A.C. presently forming S.T.C. as originally constituted in 1953 or 54.

23) Have they expressed any opinion at para 17 ante?

U/s. III

A copy of 90 dated 28.11.53 re-constituting the S.T.A.C. is enclosed. One of the functions of the Committee is to render advice on projects costing Rs 10 lakhs. This limit has since been enhanced to Rs 25 lakhs in July or Aug last. Minutes remain to be seen.

24) 26/10

24, For perusal of paras 22-24 ante w.r.t. para 20 ante.

11.11.57

U/s plst

25, When is the S.T.A.C. consulted? Is it before or after administrative approval? May I see the G.O. in which it is prescribed that projects costing above 25 lakhs only need go before the S.T.A.C.?

12/11

14/11/57

Note.—Contn. Sheet

File No. pwd 6819/M1 of 195 .

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

The S.T.A.C. is Consulted usually before according administrative approval to the projects. A copy of the Defee prescribing that projects costing more than Rs. 25 lakes should be referred to S.T.A.C. is put up.

Pl. refer para 3 of it.

15/11/57 Sd/ pwd

16.11.57

The latest G.O. says that the S.T.A.C. should be consulted at the appropriate stage. This is usually before according to administrative approval. In this case Bombay Govt had already accorded administrative approval to the project. I do not think there is any need to consult the S.T.A.C. at this stage. We may ask C.E. (M.S.N) to go ahead with the execution of the Project.

B.S. Satyanarayana
16.11.57
Dy Secy (PWD)

Secy (PWD)

If Bombay Govt have already given administrative approval, I do not see any need for our giving such approval once again. As regard securing by me, it is the responsibility of

SECRETARIAT
20 NOV 1957
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

Mysore Government Secretariat

pwd 9 DRP 5)

File No. of 195

[App. F. III 2

Serial No.

CE concerned H for it done is terms of G.O.4 I.D.5) wherever necessary.

...) F.D. my advice as no need to seek fresh administrative approval

F.D.) 30/11

D. K. SRINIVASACHAR
Secy. P. W. & Elec. Depts.

No. 3353
22-11-57
GOVT OF MYSORE

Fin Dept
W+SB.II

No. 3353

3) Since adm approval has already been accorded by the Bombay Govt no fresh approval is necessary.

30/11 2/12/57

Fin Deptt.
Budgt

(Budget)

US(W) 3 2) Fin Deptt (Budget) agrees as with the opinion furnished at paragraph 3 above. A provision of Rs. 5/- lakhs has been made for the Dharma project in the Budget for 1957-58 under 68 - N.E & D Works

3/12/57

D.S. (Budgt)

The project can be executed if technical sanction is given.

US (Budgt) 3/12/57

PWD) 3/12/57

Inform CE accordingly

Note.—Contn. Sheet

40. No 4983/B
3-12-57

Fin Sec
Budgt
Sr. CE
3/12

GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
7.9.077
6 DEC 1957
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

File No. PWD 9 DRP of 195 57

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

D'ft. letter to CE is put up.

Sd/- 12/57

for perusal before issue

Sd/- (illegible)

KL 12/12/57

Issue

13.12.57

ON

Section to put up a copy of the G.O. dated 7.7.56 in the file

12 14/12/57

Issue

KL 14/12/57

MP 19/12/57

Submitted for perusal with ref to para 29 and C.L.T No PWD 9DRP57 dt 19/12/57.

The CE has accorded technical sanction to the Dharma Project as before the issue of the G.O. dt 1-7-56 which specifies that it is the responsibility of the CE concerned to place a project before the S.T.A.C at the appropriate stage. The CE opines that the project need

Serial No.

not be placed before the S.T.A.C.

4/3/58.

pl. put up a copy of the G.O. prescribing the terms of reference of the S.T.A.C., as the same is not available in this file.

4/3/58

GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
No. 2275/58
PUBLIC WORKS, GENERAL IRRIGATION BRANCH

The C.I. Section will kindly comply ~~para~~ Ref. para 3.

6/3/58.

SHR 7/3/58

41 A copy of the G.O. dt 28.11.53 is placed below. The file will be returned to M.I. Section after perusal.

12/3

Suptd. M.I.

12/3

Ref. para 39.

12/3/58

42 D/S. may pl. see the letter of the CE(MIN) at page 7 C.F., with reference to Govt's letter at page 3 C.F. The functions of the S.T.A.C. have been are :—

(i) to tender technical advice and opinion on outstanding matters in the Public Works Dept. in relation to projects estimated to cost over Rs. 25 lakhs.

Note.—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

(ii) to review the progress of work on large scale projects after such periodical inspection as may be found necessary.

(iii) to advice Govt. on other subjects of Engineering importance that may be referred to them from time to time.

— Chief Engineer concerned with such projects has been designated as the authority responsible for deciding consultation with the committee at the appropriate stage. If the CE (MIN) were to feel that the Bhadra project costing about Rs. 67.31 lakhs need not be placed before the S.T.A.C., merely because that he has already sanctioned he should technically by himself, on the authority of the administrative appl. for this scheme by the former Bombay Govt. then he will be doing so at his own responsibility. There is nothing that we can do in this matter at this stage. If at a later date, if any important problem of engineering importance connected with this project is referred to us, then we may perhaps think of referring such matters to S.T.A.C.

D/C. PWD)

13.3.57
U.C. PWD

K. B. MUDABAPPA,
Under Secy., P. W. Dept.

When technical sanction has been accorded by the C.E. [illegible]

TD 31774—GPB—PZS—500,000—28-10-57

~~Publicity~~ P&D 9-DRP 57

Prior to the issue of the Govt order of 1.7.'57 there seems to be no question of securing the opinion of the S.T. A.C. for Technical sanction at this stage. Perhaps no further action is now called for for perusal by

Secy P.W.D.) D/s P.W.D.
22/3/58



Did we STATE expect us/s site for us/s work?

U/s 69)

No sir, they have not yet;

Secy (PW)

25/3





Sri Moores, CE/MIP, has sent a report that work on the project has been started. Administrative and technical sanction have already been accorded to this work. There seems no further necessity to refer this estimate at this stage to the STATE.

Min: PW
27/3

D. K. SRINIVASACHAR
Secy. P. W. & Elec. Deptt.
27/3 28/3



Min: 6/4/58.

2962
62

The cit. seems will be retained
under the PWD list (5) and other
connected ppr. placed below

5/4/58

file no PWD 4 STC 5) retained & the
other papers as returned.

9/4/58.

Supt'd: M.I.

V403

proc of DRP 57

To

The CE & DY

Dharma project – Sanctioning by the S.T.A.C.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 275/P of 12.6.57, I am directed to state that as the plans & estimates relating to this project have already been administratively appd. by the former Govt. of Bombay, vide their Resolution no. MDP 2552 of 31.5.1955, no fresh administrative appl. is necessary. However as regards sanctioning of the plans & estimates by the S.T.A.C, you have to get it done in terms of the G.O. of 1.7.57. (Copy enclosed for ready reference

Y.T.

Sec. to Govt. Irrig.

Copy to ~~G.D. Soni~~ Sec S.T.A.C

for info

Sd/- 12/57

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. 5 PW 9 DRP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 1.9.Dec...195

FROM

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Major Irrigation (North),
MUNIRABAD, RAICHUR DISTRICT.

Sir,

Sub:- Dharma Project - Scrutiny by the Standing Technical Advisory Committee.

Adverting to your letter No. 275/P dated 12-6-1957, I am directed to state that as the Plans and Estimates relating to this Project have already been administratively approved by the former Government of Bombay, vide their Resolution No.MIP 2592 dated 31-5-1955, no fresh administrative approval is necessary. However, as regards scrutiny of the plans and estimates by the Standing Technical Advisory Committee you have to get it done in terms of the Government Order Dated 1-7-1957 (copy enclosed for ready reference).

Yours faithfully,

(K.B.Murarappa),
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Issued
Nil
19/12/57

Copy to Secretary, Standing Technical Advisory Committee, for information.

19/12/57

Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Issued
Nil
19/12/57

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Read-

Government Order No.PW 7957-71/KRS 11-53-6 dated 28-11-1953 reconstituting the Standing Technical Advisory Committee for a period of two years with effect from 1-12-1953 with the personnel and functions noted therein.

2. Government Order No.PWA 7381-96/KRS 9-55-4 dated 1-12-1955 reconstituting the Committee for a further period of 2 years from 1-12-1955 with the personnel noted therein.

ORDER NO.PWD8 SAC 57

BANGALORE, DATED, TH 5th JULY 1957.

on of the Government Order read above, it is directed that the Committee's scrutiny may be confined to projects and works costing over Rs. 25 lakhs and the Chief Engineer concerned with each such project be designated as the authority responsible for securing consultation with the Committee at the appropriate stage.

By order and in the name of the Governo

Secretary to Government
Public Works Department

To

The CE (General) etc. etc.

Copy

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

ELE { GRAM: "PROJECTS"
PHONE:

Office of the Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
Major Irrigation (NORTH)
MUNIRABAD (S Rly.)

No. 1609-10/TS

Dated 18.2.1958

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT NO. m 21 20 FEB 1958 PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT NO. 1548 20 FEB 1958 PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

To,

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

SUBJECT:— Dharma Project-Scrutiny by the Standing Technical Advisory Committee.

Reference:—Your letter No. PWD 9DRP57 dt. 19-12-57.

Sir,

With reference to your above letter I write to state that the Technical Sanction to the Project had been accorded before the issue of the Government Order referred to in your letter. Even-- otherwise, as could be seen from the G.O. as ~~the~~ to the clause under which this scheme is to be examined, is not specific and therefore I do not think the scheme need be placed before Standing Technical Advisory Committee.

O. C. APPROVED BY C. E.

Yours faithfully,

CHIEF ENGINEER, PWD. 18/2/58
MAJOR IRRIGATION NORTH.
MUNIRABAD.

17.2.58

Copy is forwarded for information to the Secretary, Standing ~~Advisory~~ Technical Advisory Committee Bangalore for information with reference to his letter No. PWD 33 SAC 57 Dt. 30-1-58.

YGR. 17/2.

C O P Y'

9

ROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

R e a d:-

Government Order No. P.W. 8648-55/JPS. 10-48-1 dated 22nd January 1951 reconstituting the Standing Technical Advisory Committee with the personnel noted therein to advise Government on all Irrigation Hydro-electric and other works.

O R D E R NO. P.W.7957-71/K.R.S. 11-53-6

Dated. Bangalore. the 28th November 1953.

The Standing Technical Advisory Committee is reconstituted for a period of two years with effect from 1st December 1953 with the marginally noted personnel.

1. Minister for Revenue & Public Works (Chairman).
2. Sri M.G. Rangaiya, Retd. Chief Engineer.
3. Sri S.Srinivasa Iyer, Retd. Chief Engineer.
4. Sri M.Narasimhaiya, Retd. Chief Engineer.
5. Sri B.S.Narasinga Rao, Retd. Chief Engineer.
6. Sri N.Neelakantappa, Retd. Chief Engineer.
7. Sri V.Ganesh Iyer, Retd. Supdg. Engineer.
8. The Chief Engineer.
9. The Chief Electrical Engineer.
10. The Secretary to Govt., Public Works & Elecl. Department.
11. The Asst. Secretary to Government, Public Works Department (Ex. Officio Secretary).

2. The functions of the Committee will be:-

i) to tender technical advice and opinion on outstanding matters in the Public Works and Electrical Departments in relation to projects & works of large magnitude and in particular to those estimated to cost over Rs. 10 lakhs.

ii) to review the progress of works on large-scale projects after such periodical inspections as may be found necessary.

iii) to advise Government on other subjects of Engineering importance that may be referred to them from time to time.

3. Regarding Travelling Allowance, sitting charges and other facilities, the rates at present in force will continue.

Sd/-H.Channiah,
For Secretary to Govt.,
Public Works Department.

Subject:- Dharma Reservoir Project

Rehabilitation of ousted population village sites affected due to the formation of storage Reservoir.

—x—

APPENDIX I:

Statement showing the names of the Villages and the number of persons affected due to the formation of Storage Reservior.

Taluka:-Sirsi and Mundgod.

S.No.	Name of Taluka.	Name of Village affected	Total No.of persons affect-ed.	No.of: famil -ies.	Total No.of: Agrctl.land to be acqui -red.	Total amt. of compnt. of these lands.	Total: No.of houses to be acquir -ed.	Total amt. of compntn. of these houses.	No.of persons who have got sufficient land and who have made their own arra-ngements. No.of..... Person:	Famili-ies.	No.of..... Persons: who have applied for la-nds in Md.& Si-rsi Talukas: only.	famili -ies who ha -ve ap-plied -d in Sirsi & Md.Tlqs.	No.of remaining persons to whom arrangements for rehabilitations to be made out-side this Dst. as per their request..... Persons:	Families
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
						Taluka:-SIRSI:								
1.	Sirsi	Badangod	13	2	1-12-0	120/-	---	---	13	2	---	---	---	---
2.	Sirsi	Malalgaon	6	1	2- 0-0	690/-	---	---	6	1	---	---	---	---
3.	Sirsi	Hallikop	32	4	10- 6-0	2118/-	---	---	32	4	---	---	---	---
4.	Sirsi	Benagi	127	14	65-21-0	19019/-	11	3020/-	7	1	107	10	13	3
5.	Sirsi	Wadgeri	182	35	167-34-0	31657/-	25	13231/-	31	5	11	2	140	28
6.	Sirsi	Mudebail.	50	7	0-16-0	92/-	4	1007/-	28	3	22	4	---	---
		Total.	410	63	247- 9-0	53696/-	40	17258/-	117	16	140	16	153	31
						Taluka:-Mundgod.								
1.	Mundgod.	Yamgalli	171	36	164-15-0	34336/-	43	3707/-	79	15	92	21	--	--
2.	Mundgod.	Kyadikop	167	26	68- 6-0	22169/-	61	25181/-	108	16	59	10	--	--
3.	Mundgod.	Borngudde	24	4	17-23-0	3140/-	--	---	--	--	24	4	--	--
4.	Mundgod.	Naginkeri	--	--	10-38-0	4030/-	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--
5.	Mundgod.	Jangeri	298	57	258-17-0	55935/-	78	14428/-	107	17	8	2	183	38
6.	Mundgod.	Hoskop.	240	41	232-28-0	61503/-	63	9076/-	67	10	8	1	165	30
			900	164	752- 7-0	181113/-	245	52392/-	361	58	191	38	348	68

Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division, SIRSI.

APPENDIX II

INDEX MAP SHOWING THE DAM SITE AT YAMAGALLI AREA COMING UNDER SUBMERGENCE VILLAGE WITHIN TWO FURLONGS FROM MAXIMUM POOL LEVEL AND PROPOSED LANDS AND VILLAGE SITES FOR REHABILITATING THE OUSTED OUT POPULATION.

19.	Hanmant Ramanna	43-34-8	--	43-34-8	18-22-0	--	18-22-0	6749/-
20.	N.V.Nilekani.	--	223-15-12	223-15-12	--	168-29-0	168-29-0	16656/-
21.	Kenchavva kom Yella	1-35-0	--	1-35-0	0-25-0	--	0-25-0	20/-
22.	Dharmaraj Bhangari Gouda M.G.Kallan Gouda.	9- 0-0	--	9- 0-0	7-20-0	--	7-20-0	1863/-
23.	Kallangouda Channabsan Gouda.	10-31-0	--	10-31-0	8-20-0	--	8-20-0	34/-
24.	S.M.Nilekani.	7-13-0	--	7-13-0	6-30-0	--	6-30-0	1295/-
25.	N.R.Koppikar	369- 1-0	--	369- 1-0	257-16-0	--	257-16-0	40750/-
26.	Timmanna Beerappa Hanmanti.	9- 9-0	--	9- 9-0	8-16-0	--	8-16-0	2607/-
27.	Katijabi kom Majidkhan	6- 6-0	--	6- 6-0	6- 6-0	--	6- 6-0	1796/-
28.	Fatimabi kom Majidkhan	3-12-0	--	3-12-0	3-12-0	--	3-12-0	911/-
29.	Basawanneyya Baslingayya	0-14-0	--	0-14-0	--	--	--	--
30.	Udchanna Basanna	--	29-21-0	29-21-0	--	--	--	--
31.	Timma Hanuma Waddar	--	0- 1-8	0- 1-8	--	--	--	--
32.	Yellakka kom Fakira	--	0- 4-4	0- 4-4	--	--	--	--
33.	Yella Timma Waddar.	--	0- 1-0	0- 1-0	--	--	--	--
		478- 6-8	253-3-8	731-10-0	320-33-0	168-29-0	489-22-0	74,320/-
	4 Village:- H O S K O P.							
34.	1.Channawwa Basaw 2.Irabhadrappa Yanneppa	6-39-0	--	6-39-0	4-20-0	--	4-20-0	1529/-
35.	Basawwa kom Channabasa -ppa.	2- 4-8	--	2- 4-8	1-24-0	--	1-24-0	536/-
36	Gangawwa kom Rudrappa	2- 4-8	--	2- 4-8	1-24-0	-	1-24-0	536/-
37.	Mahalingappa Basappa	15-16-0	--	15-16-0	15-16-0	--	15-16-0	3893/-
38.	Siddawwa kom Sannappa Ritti.	5-34-0	--	5-34-0	5-34-0	--	5-34-0	1715/-
39.	Gadigewwa kom Ningappa	6-26-0	--	6-26-0	6-26-0	--	6-26-0	2083/-
40.	Sambayya Ramachandra Hegde.	9- 0-0	--	9- 0-0	3- 8-0	--	3- 8-0	1118/-
41.	Seetaram Narayan Bhat	6- 3-0	--	6- 3-0	6- 3-0	--	6- 3-0	1711/-
42.	Baswanni Basappa Naik.	--	6- 3-0	6- 3-0	--	6- 8-0	6- 3-0	171/-
43.	Yeshwant mother Kerdevi	--	9- 0-0	9- 0-0	--	3- 8-0	3- 8-0	112/-
		54- 7-0	15- 3-0	69-10-0	47-10-0	9-11-0	56-21-0	13,404/-

vss:

Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division, SIRSI.

APPENDIX III

Statement showing the information regarding the persons who are not required to provide with lands for cultivation and House sites etc. affected due to the formation of Dharma Reservior Project at YAMAGALLI, Taluka:-Mundgod.

S.No.	Name of the affected person.	Area of land held by him.			Area of land to be acquired.			Apprxmt. compntn. he is likely to receive.	Remarks.
		Owner.	Tenant.	Total.	Owner.	Tenant.	Total.		
1	2	3(a)	3(b)	3(c)	4(a)	4(b)	4(c)	5	6
	1 Village:-YAMGALLI.								
1.	Mallawwa kom Manjappa	0-22-0	--	0-22-0	0-22-0	--	0-22-0	144/-	
2.	Basawarajendraswami Mudakayya swami.	10-14-0	--	10-14-0	10-14-0	--	10-14-0	1009/-	
3.	Kani kom Naganna	0- 9-0	--	0- 9-0	0- 9-0	--	0- 9-0	6/-	
4.	Sawantrawwa kom Puttappa Shet.	5- 9-0	--	5- 9-0	5- 9-0	--	5- 9-0	1133/-	
5.	Bashettappa Mahalingappa Bhuma	20-11-0	--	20-11-0	20-11-0	--	20-11-0	1814/-	
6.	Lakkappa Phandappa Patil.	16-10-0	--	16-10-0	16-10-0	--	16-10-0	2462/-	
7.	Fakirawwa kom Gadigeyya	11-27-0	--	11-27-0	11-27-0	--	11-27-0	3194/-	
8.	Tirkappa Hanmantappa Badkannavar	4-21-0	--	4-21-0	4-21-0	--	4-21-0	1308/-	
9.	Timmanna Dasanna	--	8-22-0	8-22-0	--	8-22-0	8-22-0	196/-	
10.	Hanmanta Bira Naik.	0-37-0	--	0-37-0	0-37-0	--	0-37-0	265/-	
11.	Tirkapna Shiddappa	7-39-0	--	7-39-0	7-39-0	--	7-39-0	1996/-	
12.	Bhangarappa Irappa	0-29-0	--	0-29-0	0-29-0	--	0-29-0	23/-	
13.	Mohan Gopal Shanbhag M.G.Anapurnabai.	9- 1-0	--	9- 1-0	9- 1-0	--	9- 1-0	1597/-	
14.	Iranna Rudranna	--	1-30-0	1-30-0	--	1-30-0	1-30-0	45/-	
15.		87-38-0	10-12-0	98-10-0	87-38-0	10-12-0	98-10-0	15,193/-	
	2 Village:- KYADIKOPPA.								
15.	Huliyappa Idku Naik.	--	1-19-0	1-19-0	--	1-19-0	1-19-0	224/-	
16.	Sheshagiri B.Kamath	9- 8-0	--	9- 8-0	4-30-0	--	4-30-0	2199/-	
17.	Pandurang G.Kamath.	32- 6-0	--	32- 6-0	--	--	--	--	
		39-14-0	1-19-0	43-33-0	4-30-0	1-19-0	6- 9-0	2423/-	
	3 Village:-JANAGERI.								

	-ppa Hegde.	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	1319-00	He is a resident of Mundgesar where he holds sufficient lands. The lands to be acquired are shown against the names of tenants.
13.	" Gajanan Ramakrishna ~~Hegde~~ Hegde.	22-16-0	- - -	22-16-0	- - -	~~82~~ - -	- - -	920-00
		101-7-0	~~928~~-0	110-35-040-33-0		9-28-0	50-21-0	28601/-
				To Village:-Mudebail.				
14.	" Seetaram Naravan Bhat.	10-36-0	- - -	10-36-0	0-8-0	- - -	0-8-0	46-00
15.	" Venkatraman Venkat--dasappa.	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	41-00
16.	" Narayan Subray Bhat	- - -	8-12-0	8-12-0	- - -	~~8-12-0~~ 0-8-0	0-8-0	5-00
		10-36-0	8-12-0	19-8-0	0-8-0	0-8-0	0-16-0	92/-

He is a resident of Sirsi. Only an area of 0-8-0 is to be acquired.

vss/

Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division, SIRSI.

APPENDIX IV

Statement showing the information regarding the persons who are not required to provide with the lands for cultivation and house sites etc.affected due to the formation of Dharma Reservior Project.Taluka:-Sirsi.

S.No.	Name of the affected person.	Area held by him. Owner.	Tenant.	Total.	Area to be acquired. Owner.	Tenant.	Total.	Approximate compensation he is likely to receive in Rs.	Remarks.
1	2	3(a)	3(b)	3(c)	4(a)	4(b)	4(c)	5	6
				5- BADANAGOD:					
1.	Shri Ishwar Mahadevappa	17-10-0	---	17-10-0	1-12-0	- - -	1-12-0	120-00	
2.	" Ramakrishna Somayya								
				6 MALALGAON.					
3.	" Ganapati Krishna Madiwal.	1-34-0	13-9-0	15-3-0	0-16-0	1-24-0	2-0-0	131-0-0	
				7 HALLIKOPPA					
4.	" Basavanni Marya Naik.	10-9-0	7-6-0	17-15-0	2-0-0	1.8-0	3-8-0	481-00	
5.	" Somya Bhairya Naik	- - -	7-30-0	7-30-0	0-0-0	1-12-0	1-12-0	30-00	
6.	" Putta Fakira Naik	- - -	8-13-0	8-13-0	- - -	8-14-0	3-14-0	76-00	
7.	" Rama Bhairya Naik.	17-32-0	17-38-0	35-30-0	---	1-12-0	1-12-0	17-00	
		28-1-0	41-7-0	69-8-0	2-0-0	7-6-0	9-6-0	604-00	
				8 Village:-BENAGI.					
8.	" Ramachandra Ram Bhat	5-38-0	- - -	5-38-0	5-38-0	- - -	5-38-0	1619-00 Plus. 115-00 Cost of house.	
				9 Village:-WADGERI.					
9.	" Mohan Subray Mahendra Hegde.	- - -	---	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	693-00	He is a resident of Mundgesar and the lands are shown against the tenant's name.He holds lands at Mundgesar
10.	" Shreepad Ramakrishna Hegde.	78-31-0	---	78-31-0	40-33-0	- - -	40-33-0	22115-00	He is a resident of Bomnalli
							Plus.	3393-00	where he holds sufficient lands.
11.	" John Pedrue Dias.	- - -	9-28-0	9-28-0	- - -	9-28-0	9-28-0	161-00	He is a resident of Sirsi and he is not cultivating lands to be

APPENDIX V.

SCHEMES FOR ESTABLISHING AGRICULTURAL COLONIES AT i) GUNGSUR and (ii) KYADIKOP IN MUNDGOD TALUKA.

SCHEME No.I.

Name of the Scheme:- Reclamation of forest lands drawn from Forest survey numbers 28 of Virapur, and 30 and 32 of Jangeri.

2.Brief descri-ption of the Scheme and its justification As ascertained in the meeting of expropriated families, 38 families from Yamgalli, Jangeri, Bornagudde, Hoskop and Kyadigikop have expressed their desire to settle on these lands. The details of these families regarding the lands held by them either as owner or tenant or both, the area of the lands to be acquired from them, the approximate compensation amount they are likely to receive for ecquisition of their lands etc. are as shown in the accompanying lists. (vide page). It is seen therefrom that 33 families not only loose their cultivable lands but also their residential houses. The remaining 5 families who are agricultural coolies loose only the residential houses.

The total acreage lost by them as a result of acquisition is approximately 240 acres of Tari lands. It is therefore equitable to allot an equal area to them for the purpose of cultivation. With this aim in view, the forest S.Nos. in question were surveyed and the approximate area that could be drawn is ascertained. The sketch showing the availability of this area is spared and enclosed herewith, for ready reference. As seen therefrom, the area that can be drawn approximately comes to 289 acres out of which 96.5 acres is tari land and the remaining is Khuski, or dry Crop land. It is therefore proposed to reclaim

an area of 289 acres for the purpose.

RECLAMATION MEASURES.

This area has been inspected in the Company of the heads of families proposed to be rehabilitated and it is found to be suitable for the purpose of cultivation. The villagers strongly desired to have these lands. The whole of this area is covered with forest trees and revenue trees. It is trues that there are certain valueable trees also.

But the land is needed for an important purpose of rehabilitation. It is to be dis--forested and spared for the purpose of cultivation. Before the land is disforested, the Forest authorities will have to be requested to remove trees standing on the lands along with their stumps. In case it is not feasible for the forest authorities to remove the stumps, this work will have to be entrusted to the P.W.D. authorities and stumps got removed. It is estimated by the Deputy Engineer i/c of Rehabilitation that the cost of removing stumps by means of bull -dozer comes to Rs.100/- per acre. So, the total cost of removing stumps etc. comes to Rs.28900/-.

After these lands are thus cleared off, it requires to be tractor ploughed and levelled and bunded into suitable fields. It is estimated that the tractor ploughing charges at the prescribed rate of Rs.30/- per acre comes to Rs.8670/- and the cost of levelling and bunding into fields comes to Rs.20/- per acre and for 289 acres, Rs.5780/-. Thus, it is seen that the total cost of reclamation comes to Rs.43,350/- as detailed below:

(17)

a.Clearing of jungle by bull-dozer etc. Rs.28,900-00.

b.Tractor ploughing. 3,070-00

c.Levelling and bunding. 5,780-00

3.Cost how to be met:- The approximate compensation amount that will be received by the 33 families amounts to Rs.54900/-.It is therefore equitable that they should bear the full charges of tractor ploughing, levelling and bunding, that is, they should bear Rs. 14,450/-.But in case the work of levelling and bunding is done by them through their own manure labour only the cost of tractor-ploughing will be recovered from them out of the compensation amount to be paid to them. As regards the bull-dozing charges it it proposed that it should be bonne by the P.W.D. as suggested at the time of visit of Hon.Minister for P.W.D.on 18-9-1958 at Malgi.

As regards five families who are agricu-ltural coolies and who do not receive any compensation amount, the whole cost of tractor ploughing and levelling and bunding which comes to Rs.900/-for an area of 18 acres proposed to be allotted to them will have to be borne by Government in the first instance. As they are extremely poor they are unable to bear this cost at one stretch.It is therefore proposed to recover it in easy five instalments begining from the 4th year of their settlement on this land free of interest.

4. Agency for the execution of the Scheme.

The people to be rehabilitated are illiterate Villagers. A constant goading and approach to them are necessary for the successful establistment of the proposed agricultural-colony. Sufficient enthusiasm is required to be created in them. Their confidence must be won over. This is possible if a suitable committee of Officials and non-Officials is formed for the purpose. It is therefore proposed to form the Committee of "ACTION" consisting of the following officials:

1. The Assistant Commissioner, Sirsi Division, Sirsi. — Chairman.
2. The Deputy Engineer i/c of Rehabilitation.
3. The Tahasildar of Mundgod. — Secretary.
4. The District Agricultural Officer Kanara Karwar.
5. Three representatives of the expropriated families.

To enable this Committee of "ACTION" to function efficiently there should be a whole time Circle Officer and one Accountant to assist the Secretary for supervising over the regular agricultural operations that are to be undertaken. Separate proposals have been submitted in this respect.

5. Cost to Government:- Rs. 28,900/-.

Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division, Sirsi.

26.	Putta Hanuma Naik.	8- 9-0	--	8- 9-0	2-25-0	--	2-25-0	639.	1	2	3	0-10-0	Yes.
27.	Putta Fakira Naik.	11-23-0	6-14-0	17-37-0	7- 6-0	--	7- 6-0	1,113.	2	3	5	0-10-0	"
28.	Narashimha Hanuma Naik.	4-24-0	--	4-24-0	2-28 -0	--	2-28-0	398.	1	3	4	0-10-0	"
		29-24-0	6-14-0	35-38-0	17-27-0	--	17-27-0	3,202.	6	12	18	1-0-0 4 plots.	
	Village: KYRDIKOP.												
29.	Venka Rama Naik.	14- 0-0	--	14- 0-0	7-15-0	--	7-15-0	1,828.	3	5	8	0-10-0 2 plots	Yes.
30.	Smt.Fakiravva kom Ajjayya.	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	3	4	0-10-0	"
31.	Rudhra Hamuma Naik.	--	2-22-0	2-22-0	--	2-22-0	2-22-0	2,167.	1	4	5	0-10-0	"
32.	Tilka Laxman M.G.Rudhara.	17-16-0	--	17-16-0	13-11-0	--	13-11-0	4,830.	5	6	11	0-10-0	"
33.	Yellappa Hanmantappa Waddar.	--	--	--	--	--	--	115.	1	3	4	0-10-0	"
34.	Kariyappa Idku Naik.	49-36-0	6-20-0	56-16-0	27-10-0	6-20-0	33-30-0	14,408.	12	22.5	34.5	0-30-0 2 plots	"
35.	Kanna Base Naik.	17-39-0	3-33-0	21-32-0	1-22-0	3-33-0	5-15-0	2,199.	2	3	5	0-10-0 1 plot	"
36.	Bhangari Sanna Naik.M.G. Mother Ramakka.	7-24-0	--	7-24-0	7-24-0	--	7-24-0	2,325.	2.5	4	6.5	0-10-0	"
37.	Hanmanta Bhimanna Waddar.	--	6-19-0	6-19-0	--	6-19-0	6-19-0	175.	2	3	5	0-10-0	"
38.	Ganapa Jattu Naik.	--	--	--	--	--	--	52. 69.	1	3	4	0-10-0	"
		106-35-0	19-14-0	126- 9-0	57- 2-0	19-14-0	76-16-0	28,116.	30.5	56.5	87	2-30-0 12 plots	
		234-11-0	96-39-0	331-10-0	155- 6-0	83-18-0	238-24-0	54,291.	96.5	192.5	289.8	30-0 42 plots	

N. B.:- The lands for cultivation are to be granted from Forest S.No.30, and 32 of Janageri and S.No. 28 of Veerapur. For house site from Forest S.No. 57 of Malgi and 28 of Veerapur.

The families of persons mentioned at Serial Nos 1, 29, 29 and 34 Consist of 12, 11, 14 and 18 members respectively. In view of large members in their families, they have been proposed to be allotted 2 plots each. The remaining persons are to be allotted one plot each.

Assistant Commissioner.
SIRSI

Statement showing the names of persons who are to be Rehabilitated in Mundgod Taluka only on account of Dharma Reservoir Project.

S.No:	Names of the affected persons.	Area of land held by him			Area of land to be acquired.			The approx. compensation he is likely to receive.	Area of land to be allotted. For cultivation			House sites	Whether he needs financial help.
		Owner	Tenant	Total.	Owner	Tenant	Total.		A	K	Total		
1	2	3(a)	3(b)	3(c)	4(a)	4(b)	4(c)	5	6(a)	6(b)	6(c)	6(d)	7
		-	-	Village: YAMAGALLI.									
1.	Fakira Shiddappa Balehalli	11-16-0	6-37-0	18-13-0	11-16-0	5-11-0	16-27-0	2,514.	7	12	19	2 plots	Yes.
2.	Dheeba Shiddappa	2-13-0	-	2-13-0	2-13-0	-	2-13-0	246.	1	3	4	1 plot.	"
3.	Fakirappa Fakira	0-19-0	8-33-0	9-12-0	0-19-0	4-15-0	4-34-0	704.	2	6	8	"	"
4.	Rama Iranna.	6- 7-0	-	6- 7-0	6- 7-0	-	6- 7-0	1,597.	3	6	9	"	"
5.	Kanna alias Sheku Gutyanna.	3-19-0	-	3-19-0	3-19-0	-	3-19-0	918.	2	3	5	"	"
6.	Yellappa Hanmantappa Patil.	24- 9-0	9-31-0	34-0 -0	10-25-0	8-25-0	19-13-0	3,515.	6	12	18	"	"
7.	Malla Iranna Haslur.	3- 6-0	8-33-0	11-39-0	3- 6-0	8-33-0	11-39-0	1,055.	4	10	14	"	"
8.	Kantya Iranna Haslur.	-	10-14-0	10-14-0	-	10-14-0	10-14-0	420.	4	8	12	"	"
9.	Nagappa Basavonneppa.	9-30-0	-	9-30-0	5-39-0	5-30-0	5-39-0	2,140.	3	6	9	"	"
10.	Dyawa Parasanna Haslur.		5-34-0	5-34-0		5-34-0	5-34-0	569.	3	6	9	"	"
11.	Hanmanta alias Malla M.G. Gourawwa etc.	3-11-0	-	3-11-0	3-11-0	-	3-11-0	1,048.	2	3	5	"	"
12.	Malla Fakiranna Andebail.	2-17-0	3- 9-0	5-26-0	2-17-0	3- 9-0	5-26-0	1,129.	3	6	9	"	"
13.	Yellappa Keriyappa	--	--	--	--	--	--	185.	1	2	3	"	"
14.	Bhangari Iro Naik.	--	3-30-0	3-30-0	--	3-30-0	3-30-0	396.	1	4	5	"	"
15.	Channappa Ningan Gouda.	--	--	--	--	--	--	207.	1	2	3	"	"
16.	Ramachandra Krishnappa Gudavi.	4-27-0	--	4-27-0	4-27-0	--	4-27-0	1,293.	2	4	6	"	"
17.	Mahmad Alli Jainuddin.	1-31-0	--	1-31-0	1-31-0	--	1-31-0	454.	1	3	4	"	"
18.	Malla Channappa.	4-19-0	--	4-19-0	4-19-0	--	4-19-0	866.	3	5	8	"	"
19.	Adivya Shettanna, Waddar.	5-19-0	5-30-0	5-19-0	5-19-0	--	5-19-0	367.	2	4	6	Nil.	
20.	Hanmant Hanmant Kammar.	3-22-0	--	3-22-0	3-22-0	--	3-22-0	1,021.	2	2	4	"	
21.	Shetya Shettanna Waddar.	7-21-0	--	7-21-0	7-21-0	--	7-21-0	949.	2	4	6	"	
		6-0	57-21-0	151-27-0	76-31-0	50-14-0	127- 5-0	21,593.	55	111	166	22 plots	
				Village:- JANAGERI.									
22.	Mailarappa Ningappa.	--	7-24-0	7-24-0	--	7-24-0	7-24-0	132.	2	4	6	1 plot- 0-10-0	Yes.
23.	Ramakrishna Vajrappa.	--	6- 6-0	6- 6-0	--	6- 6-0	6- 6-0	106.	2	6	8	Nil.	"
		--	13-30-0	13-30-0	--	13-30-0	13-30-0	238.	4	10	14	2 plots	
				Village: HOSKOP.									
24.	Ganganna Shettanna Waddar.	3-26-0	--	3-26-0	3-26-0	--	3-26-0	1,142.	1	3	4	2 plots 0-10-0	Yes.
25.				Village: HORNAHUDDE.									

1. Name of the Scheme:- Layout of Plots for the purpose of establishing of the Agricultural Colony in Gungsur and allotment of ~~xxxx~~ Plots.

2. Brief description and its justification:- The list of families who are to be rehabilitated showing the names of heads of families, number of persons in the family etc. is appended ~~at page~~ with Scheme No.I. It is seen therefrom that ~~some~~ families are large and some are small. It is also seen that some families are agricultural families and the rest are agricultural coolies and the Village artisans. In order therefore, to meet the demand, the Deputy Engineer, i/c of Rehabilitation has prepared a layout Plan which is appended herewith ~~at page~~ 3. In all 64 building-~~s~~ Plots have been laid out, out of which 42 plots are required to be allotted to 38 families. Kindly vide column 6(d) in The list ~~showing the allotment of these plots is~~ enclosed with Scheme No. I herewith. 22 residential plots have been reserved ~~for~~ to meet the future demand. Beside plots for construction of Public buildings like Village Panchayat Office, Chavadi, School, Temple, Library, Community Hall and Society Building have been provided. As seen from the layout Plan, care has been taken to see that the layout Plan is on the lines of Model Plan providing all necessary facilities. Each residential Plot measures 60' x 120' i.e. 6.6 guntha. As the Plot is sufficiently spacious, both the residential house as well as the cattle shed can be constructed conveniently as per Type Plan enclosed ~~at~~ at page 5. The whole area that will be required for the purpose comes to

SCHEME No.II~~I~~.

1.Name of the Scheme:- Allotment of lands to 38 Ex-propriated families ousted as a result of construction of storage dam at Yamgalli

2.Brief description of the scheme & its justification :- As stated under Scheme No.I, the area to be drawn for the purpose of settlement of ousted families is 289 acres.The whole area has been surveyed by the Deputy Engineer i/c Irrigation and it is estimated that an approximate area of 96.5 acres can be converted into irrigated area if a channel is constructed with its sill about 10' higher than the sill level of the sanctioned outlet at points as shown in the enclosed sketch(vide appendix II)The P.W.D.authorities may be requested to construct this channel as suggested at the time of the visit of the Honourable Minister for P.W.D.on 18-9-1958.The remaining area of 192.5 will have to be treated as dry crop land or rainfed area. The question of allotment of lands to these families has been thoroughly discussed in the meeting of these families chiefly with a view to formulate certain practical principles on the basis of which lands are to be allotted. The concensus of opinion in the meeting was that lands shd.be allotted roughly in proportion to the lands lost by them as a result of acquisition proceedings. It has also been taken into consideration,the capacity of the families to bring the allotted lands under effective cultivation.Besides this, the need of the family for the land has also been we

With these broad principle-s in view, the lands measuring 289 acres have been proposed to be distributed as shown in the ~~accompanying~~ statement accompanying the Scheme No. I (Kindly vide Col. 6)

For this purpose both tari and dry crop area will have to be suitably devided into as many blocks as there are families, i.e. 38 blocks in tari area and 38 blocks in dry crop area. For the present these blocks will be measured roughly with the help of the P.W.D. authorities and allotted to them on the same tenure on which they held lands which have been proposed to be acquired and then as soon as possible, get them measured and demarcated suitably by the Survey Department at the expenses of the allottees themselves.

3. Cost how to be met:-

As regards the charges of measurement to be carried out by the Survey Department, it is estimated that it comes to Rs.1,216/- at the prescribed rate of Rs.16/-per block. It is proposed that whole of the cost should be borne by the allottees of lands. Out of 38 families, 33 families receive compensation amount of Rs.54,000/-So, it is equitable that they should pay for the lands allotted to them, equal to nominal occupancy price of Rs.5/-for Tari land and Rs.3/-for dry crop. As regards the 5 families who are agricultural coolies, land should be granted to them free of occupancy price on new and impartible tenure. So, the cost of the land to be allotted comes to Rs. 900/-.

Cost to Govt:- Nil.

Agency for execution of the Scheme:- Same as stated under SCHEME No.I.

Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division, Sirsi.

28 acres and 20 gunthas.It is proposed to draw this area out of forest S.No.57 of Valgi and forest S.No.28 of Virapur .It is therefore necessary to get this area dis-forested.and spared for the purpose.Soparate proposals are being submitted to the Dy.Commissioner North Kanara proposigg dis-forestment etc.

3.Cost of the Scheme.:- The area of 28 acres and 20 gunthas, proposed for the layout of the agricultural Colony is un-even and covered with forest and revenue trees. It is therefore not only necessary to remove trees but also to level it. As regards the removal of trees, it is proposed that the forest authorities should be requested to take necessary ~~xxkixxx kx gxk kkx~~ steps to get the trees disposed of .They should also be ~~xkxx~~requested to get the stumps of the trees removed.But in case ~~if~~ the removal of stumps cannot be done by them, the P.W.D. authorities will have to be entrusted with this work and the cost of removal of stumps and levelling of grounds will be Rs.2850/-, at the rate of Rs.100/- per acre as estimated by the Deputy Engineer. After removal of stumps and levelling of the ground, the District Inspector of Land Records Kanara will be requested to take necessary ~~xxkixxx~~ steps for the demarcation of Plots. .After demarcation it is proposed to grant these Plots to the ex-propriated families on payment of Occupancy Price of Rs.5/-per guntha It may be noted here that the house sites of these people have been acquired at this rate only.

As proposed by the Deputy Engineer, four drains are to be constructed and the estimated cost of which comes to Rs.2,500/-.It is also necessary to construct Katcha\Service Road in the Colony and it is estimated that the total length of such

road comes to 1.38 miles, the cost of which comes to Rs.1380/-.In addition to this, surface drains are to be constructed along these Katcha/Service roads, the length of which, as Stated by the Deputy Engineer comes to 2.76 miles, the cost of which comes to Rs.870/-.Thus, it is seen that the cost for suitable layout of Plots at the Colony is shown below:

a.Removal of stumps and levelling of grounds.	Rs.2,850/-
b.Demarcation of 80 Plots by the D.I.L.R.	Rs. 800/-
c.Construction of four drains.	Rs.2,500/-
d.-do-Katcha and Service roads measuring 1.38 miles in length.	Rs.1,380/-
e.-do-surface drains.	Rs. 870/-
Total.	Rs.8,400/-

4.Cost how to be met:- As the people to be rehabilitated have been up-rooted from their homes and hearths, they have been put to serious in-conveniences. It is therefore equitable that they should not be burdened with the cost of amenities noted ab-ove. It is therefore proposed that the whole cost of Rs.8400/-should be borne by the P.W.D.from the Project grant placed at its disposal.

5.Agency for the execution of the Scheme:- SAME AS STATED IN SCHEME No.I.

6.Cost to Government. Rs.8,400/-.

(L.R.Naik.)
Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division, Sirsi.

vgm.

S C H E M E E.III-A.

1. Name of the Scheme — Layout of plots for the purpose of establishin of Agricultural Colony at Kyadikop and allotment of Plots.

2. Brief description of the Scheme & its justification:- The list of families who are to be rehabilitated at Kyadikop showing the names of heads of families, the number of persons in the family etc. is appended ~~at page 5~~ herewith. It is seen therefro that some families are large and some are small. It is also seen that some of families are agricultural families and the rest are agricultural tenants and Village artisans. In order therefore to meet the demand, the Dy. Engineer i/c of the Rehabilitation has prepared a layout Plan which is appended at page 7. In all 18 building Plots have been laid out, all of which are required to be allotted to 14 families. The list showing the allotment of these Plots is enclosed ~~at page 5~~. Besides, Plots for construction of Public Buildings like School have been provided. As seen from the layout Plan, care has been taken to see that the Layout Plan is on the lines of Model Plan providing all necessary facilities. Each residential Plot measures 60' x 120' i.e. 6.6 gunthas. As the Plot is sufficiently spacious, both the residential house as well as the cattle shed can be constructed conveniently as per Type Plan enclosed with Scheme No. IV-B. The whole area that will be required for the purpose comes to 11 acres and 29 gunthas. It is proposed to draw this area out of revenue waste S.No. 5 of Kyadikoppa. Separate orders have been issued to layout the Plots. The District Inspector of Land Records has been requested to demarcate the lands, and to send P.T.Sheet and K.J.P. at an early date

3.Cost of the Scheme

The area of 11 acres and 29 gunthas proposed for the layout of the Agricultural Colony is uneven and covered with forest and Revenue trees. It is therefore not only necessary to remove trees but also to level it.The Tahasildar of Mundgod has been separat-ely instructed to dispose of the Revenue trees standing in this area by Public Auction. As regards the removal of Forest trees it it proposed that the Forest autho-rities should be requested to take necessary steps to get the trees disposed of.They shd.also be requested to get the stumps of the trees removed.But in case the removal of stumps cannot done by them,the P.W.D.authorities will have to be entrusted with this work and the cost of removal of stumps and levelling of grounds for the whole area except that set aside for grove will be Rs.~~275/-~~ 750/- at the rate of Rs.100/-per acre as estimated by the Deputy Engineer.After removal of stumps and levelling of ground, the D.I.L.R. Kanara will be requested to take necessary steps for the demarcation of Plots. After demarcation it is proposed to grant these Plots to the expropriated families on payment of Occupancy price of ~~Rs.5/-~~ Rs.5/- per guntha on old tenure.It may be noted here that the sites of these people have been acquired at this rate only. As proposed by the Deputy Engineer an approach road is to be constructed with necessary cross drainage work, and estimated cost of which comes to Rs.3750/-.It is also necessary to construct Katcha service roads in the Colony and it is estimated that the total length of such road comes to ~~Rs~~ 0.20 mile, the cost of which comes to Rs.200/-.In addition to this, surface drains are to be constructed along ~~the~~ these Katcha Service Roads, the length of which as stated by the Deputy Engineer comes to 0.4 miles, the cost of which comes to Rs.130/-.Thus it is seen that the cost

for suitable layout of Plots xx at the Colony is as shown below:

a.Removal of stumps and Levelling of grounds.	Rs.750/-
b.Demarcation of 18 Plots by the D.I.L.R.	Rs.180/-
c.Construction of approach road and C.D.work.	Rs.3750/-
d.-do-of Katcha and Service road measuring 0.20 miles in length.	Rs.200/-
e.-do-surface drains.	Rs.130/-
Total.	Rs.5010/-

4.Cost how to be met:- As the people to be rehabilitated have been up-rooted from their homes and hearths. they have been put to serious in-conveniences. It is therefore equitable that they should not be burdened with the cost of amenities noted above.It is therefore proposed that the whole cost of Rs.5010/-shd. be borne by the P.W.D.from the Project grant placed at its disposal.

5.Agency for the execution of the Scheme: Same as stated in SCHEME No.I.

6.Cost to Govt. Rs.5,010/-.

(L.R.Naik,)
Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division,
S I R S I.

vss:

showing the names of persons who are to be granted house sites in Government Waste Survey Number 5 of Kyadikoppa.

Taluka:-H U N D A G O/D.

Name of the affected person.	Area of land held by him.			Area of land to be acquired.			The appxmt. compensation to be allotted he is likely to receive.	Whether he needs for reclamation if so, to what extent?	Remarks.
	Owner.	Tenant	Total.	Owner.	Tenant	Total.	for house site		
2	3(a)	3(b)	3(c)	4(a)	4(b)	4(c)	5	6	7	8
				Village:- Y A M A G A L L I.						
1. Laxmawwa kom Venkanna Dasar	19-10-0	--	19-10-0	--	--	--	139/-	1 Plot	Yes.	The house site is to be granted from Govt. Waste Survey Number 5 of Kyadikoppa.
				Village:- K Y A D I K O P P A.						
2. Giriya Rama Naik.	30-10-0	--	30-10-0	8-22-0	--	8-22-0	8650/-	2 Plots.	"	
3. Odiya Rama Naik.	11- 7-02-24-0		13-31-0	1-24-0	2-24-0	4- 8-0	895/-		"	
4. Bheirya Bomma Naik.	38-32-03-28-0		42-20-0	0-39-0	--	0-39-0	665/-	2 Plots	"	
5. Rama Kallappa Naik.	9- 9-08-21-0		17-30-0	--	1-32-0	1-32-0	357/-		"	
6. Mostya Hanuma Naik.	-- 33-35-0		33-35-0	--	--	--	299/-	1 Plot.	"	
7. Durga Rama Naik.	15-32-0	--	15-32-0	3-37-0	--	3-37-0	1947/-		"	
8. Venka father Bhangari	12-31-0	--	12-31-0	--	--	--	--		"	
9. Keriya Durga Naik.	12-31-02- 4-0		14-35-0	--	2- 4-0	2- 4-0	2652/-		"	
10. Ira Channa	-- 15-23-0		15-23-0	--	--	--	1369/-		"	
11. Bommu Channa Naik.	40- 7-03-0 -0		43- 7-0	--	--	--	324/-	2 Plots.	"	
12. Laxmi kom Havalu Naik.	-- 6-20-0		6-20-0	--	--	--	103/-		"	
13. Kordevi kom Bommu Naik.	12-29-0	--	12-29-0	--	--	--	--		"	
14. Mukund Kallappa Vaddar.	19-19-0	--	19-19-0	--	--	--	--		"	
	231-17-0	75-35-0	307-12-0	15-2-0	6-20-0	21-22-0	17399/-	8		

Assistant Commissioner.
Sirsi Division, SIRSI.

SCHEME No.IV:

1. **Name of the Scheme :-** Construction of residential houses and cattle-Sheds by the ex-appropriated people at Gungsur.

2. **Brief description and its justification :-** As stated under Scheme No.III, it is proposed to allot 42 residential Plots to 38 expropriated families. The question of getting suitable houses constructed for these people has been deliberated at length and in their meeting, con-census of the meeting was that a Model House based on a Plan and Estimate adopted in the Community Project area should be got constructed for each of the agricultural families. The layout Plan proposed by the Deputy Engineer i/c of Rehabilitation is enclosed ~~herewith at page~~ with Scheme No. III As seen therefrom, care has been taken to provide sufficient leaving space in each house. The cattle shed is proposed to be constructed separately as shown in the Plan. In case of 4 ~~large~~ families who are agricultural coolies, it is proposed that their houses should be constructed as per specifications mentioned in the Government Scheduled Caste Housing Scheme. The Plan for ~~which~~ the same is prepared and enclosed ~~at page~~

In case of normal agricultural families it is estimated that the cost of the House, including the cattle shed comes to Rs.1620/- and in the case of bigger families the same cost will be doubled.

Out of this amount of Rs.1620/-, an amount of ~~Rs.xxx,xxx/-~~ Rs.1,120/- is estimated to be the cost of a residential house and the remaining of the cattle shed. For the present the expropriated people have expressed th

willingness to get the residential houses constructed according to the proposed Plan and Estimate. As regards the construction of cattle shed it is left in them to get it constructed in suitable way out of the materials they take from the old houses or out of the building materials they may get it from the forest Department at concessional rates. Such construction will also be secured for them for getting their residential houses constructed. The question as to who should be given the Govt. financial help for getting the residential houses constructed was discussed at length in the meeting of the Villagers. It is proposed to grant loans and/or subsidy to the persons mentioned in the accompanying list as detailed therein. It is thus seen that an amount of Rs. 4625/- is to be granted a subsidy. It is proposed that the amount required for being granted as loan may be granted under non-agricultural loans Act, to be repayble in 10 instalments.

As regards the amount of subsidy, this amount may be spared out of funds at the disposal of P.W.D.

(L.R.Naik.)
Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division,
Sirsi.

List showing the persons to whom loan or subsidy or both is proposed to be granted by the Government for erecting residential buildings at Gungavv.

S.No.	Name of the head or the expropriated people.	No.of persons in his family.	Total amt. he gets/ as a erecting acquisition of his family.	The amt.he is required to spent for family.Other Schemes.	The net amt. remaining with him.	The amt.that he could spare for the construction of his residential building.	The amt.loan or subsidy to be granted to them for erecting a home. Loan.	Subsidy	Total.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1.	Dheera Shiddappa Patil	2	246/-	100-00	146-00	700-00	450-00	150-00	600-00	
2.	Fakirappa bin Fakira	5	704/-	400/-	304/-	700/-	300/-	100/-	400/-	
3.	Kanna alias Sheku Guttanna	2	918/-	350/-	568/-	700/-	200/-	--	200/-	
4.	Malla Iranna Haslor	5	1055/-	700/-	355/-	1100/-	600/-	200/-	800/-	
5.	Kantya Iranna "	5	420/-	--	--	700/-	450/-	150/-	600/-	
6.	Dyawa Parsanna H	3	569/-	450/-	119/-	700/-	450/-	150/-	600/-	
7.	Hanmant Mallappa M.G. mother Gaurawwa	7	1048/-	300/-	745/-	1100/-	400/-	--	400/-	
8.	Yellappa Kerdyappa	6	185/-	--	--	700/-	--	400/-	400/-	
9.	Bhangari Ira Naik	6	396/-	250/-	146/-	700/-	450/-	150/-	600/-	
10.	Channappa Ningan Gouda	2	207/-	--	--	700/-	--	400/-	400/-	
11.	Ramachandra Krishnappa Gudavi.	2	1293/-	300/-	993/-	1100/-	100/-	--	100/-	
12.	Mahamadalli Wd.Jainuddin	5	454/-	200/-	254/-	700/-	300/-	100/-	400/-	
13.	Malla Channappa	3	866/-	400/-	466/-	700/-	250/-	--	250/-	
14.	Mailari Ningappa	2	132/-	--	--	700/-	--	400/-	400/-	
15.	Ginganna Shettanna ~~Shxxx~~ Waddar.	8	1142/-	400/-	742/-	1100/-	300/-	100/-	400/-	
16.	Honna Mahadev Naik	9.	1052/-	450/-	602/-	1100/-	375/-	125/-	500/-	
17.	Putta Hanuma Naik.	3	639/-	450/-	189/-	700/-	375/-	125/-	500/-	
18.	Putta Fakira Naik.	9	1113/-	450/-	663/-	1100/-	375/-	125/-	500/-	
19.	Narashimha Hanuma Naik.	3	398/-	300/-	98/-	700/-	--	400/-	400/-	
20.	Venka Rama Naik.	8	1829/-	400/-	1429/-	1800/-	400/-	--	400/-	
21.	Fakirawwa kom Ajjayya	2	--	--	--	700/-	--	400/-	400/-	
22.	Yellappa HanmantappaWaddar	2	115/-	--	--	700/-	--	400/-	400/-	
23.	Hanmanta Devanna Waddar.	5	227/-	--	--	700/-	--	400/-	400/-	
24.	Ganapa Jattu Naik.	5	69/-	--	--	700/-	--	400/-	400/-	
						Total.	5575/-	4625/-	10250/-	

vss:
vgm:
Gvs:

Yan
Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division, SIRSI.

SCHEME No.IV-A:

1. Name of the Scheme: Construction of residential houses and cattle sheds by the expropriated people, at Kyadikop.

2. Brief description and its justification:- As stated under Scheme No.III-A it is proposed to allot 18 residential plots to 14 expropriated families. The question of getting suitable houses constructed for these people has been deliberated at length in their meeting and the concensus of opinion in the meeting was that a Model House based on a Plan and Estimate adopted in the Community Project area shd.be got constructed for each of the Agricultural families. The layout Plan proposed by the Deputy Engineer i/c of Rehabilitation is enclosed with the Scheme No.III-A. As seen therefrom, care has been taken to provide sufficient leaving space in each house. The cattle shed is proposed to be constructed separately as shown in the Plan. In case of four large families, bigger houses as per Plan got approved by the Committee of "ACTION" are proposed to be got constructed. In case of families who are agricultural tenants, it is proposed that house may be constructed as per specifications mentioned in the Government Scheduled Caste Housing Scheme. The Plan for this is prepared and enclosed at page 11.

In case of normal agricultural families, it is estimated that the cost of the house including the cattle shed comes to Rs.1620/- and in the case of bigger families, the same cost will be double

Out of this amount of Rs.1620/-an amt.of Rs.1120/- is estimated to be the cost of residential house and the remaining of the cattle shed. For the present the expropriated people have expressed their willingness to

get the residential house constructed accordingly to the proposed plan and estimate. As-regards-the constructio of a cattle shed, it is left to them to get it consti -cted in the suitable way out-of the materials they take from the old houses or out-of the-Building materials they may get it from Forest Department at concessional rates. Such materials will also be secured from them for getting their residential houses constr--ucted. The question as to who should be given Govt. financial help for getting the residential houses constructed was discussed at length in the meeting of Villagers. It is proposed to grant loans to the persons mentioned in the accompanying list as detailsed therein.

It is thus seen that an amount of Rs.1200/- is required to be advanced as loan and an amount of Rs.2800/- as subsidy. It is proposed that the amount required for being granted as loan may be granted under non-agricultural loans Act to be repayable in 10 instalments As regards the amount of subsidy, this amount may be spared out of the funds kept at the disposal of the P.W.D.

(L.R.Naik.)
Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division,
S I R S I.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Type Estimate for the Construction of a cheap type cattle shed to be consturcted by the Agriculturist					
		1.) Excavation for foundation in 86'X2'X1' = 344.	3	-	-	100 C.Ft.	10.00
		2.) Filling in foundation with clay and rubble. 86X2X1 = 172					
		Plinth masonry in stone & mud. 86X2'X1' = 172					
		Total 344	30	-	-	100 C.Ft.	103.00
		3.) Superstructure of mud or sun dried bricks in mud. 78X1.5X5' = 585					
		Deduct windows 2X3X1.5X2.5 = 22					
		Net. 567	20	-	-		113.00
		4.) Providing windows(ordinary) 2 Nos.	12	-	-	Each.	24.00
		5.) Providing G.C.I. gates. 2 Nos. of 4'X6'	30	-	-	Each.	60.00
		6.) Providing & fixing bullies for gates etc. 4 Nos.	3	-	-	Each.	12.00
		7.) Providing roofing country tiled roofing on J.W.bullies for common rafter and bamboos, etc. 3.6X14.3 = 472 S.Ft.	35	-	-		165.00
		Sundry Charges.					8.00
						Total Rs.	500.00

Patilkantal 24/9/32

Deputy Engineer,

C. C. Kalivel Irrigation Sub-Dn.

SDJ.24-11.

P.T.O.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 e. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Type estimate for a cheap agricultural house for an agricultural family for purposes of Rehabilitating the ousted population at Kyadiyop, Gungsur and Shivalli.					
		Sub-Estimate No. IX.					
		1.)Excavation for foundation.					
		125'X2'X2' 500	3	-	-	100 C.ft.	15.00
		2.)Filling with stone and clay for foundation.					
		125X2'X2' 500					
		Plinth in stone and mud.					
		125X2'X1.5 375					
		Total = 875	30	-	-	100 C.Ft.	262.00
		(Rates adopted cost of stones conveyance Rs.12/-)					
		3.)Superstructure of sundried bricks or mud walls.					
		62.5X1.5X7 = 650					
		Gables. 2 X $\frac{12X2.5X1.5}{2}$ = 63					
		Cross walls. 2X9X7X1.5 = 189					
		902					
		Deductions 3X3X6X1.5 = 81					
		Windows 4X3X2.5X1.5 = 75					
		Net payment 842	20	-	-	100C.ft.	168.00
		Rates adopted taking into consideration that the owner will provide the materials at site & supply unskilled labour.					
		4.)Providing doors & windows.					
		Doors 3 Nos.	30	-	-	Each.	90.00
		Windows 7 Nos.	15	-	-	Each.	105.00

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		5.)Doors of common pattern of panelled type or J.W.Pattern for wooden and paper & Partitions - splits for battens.					
		25.5X24.75 - 631.3 Sft.	43	-	-	100 S.ft.	271.00
		6.)Lintels over doors (Out of Pattis.)					
		3 Nos X 1.5 X 4.5 X 2 = 24 = 7.59					
		Windows 7 X 1.5 X 2.5 X 2 = 24 = 13.78					
		Total 21.37	1	-	-	C.ft.	21.00
		Rates adopted at concessional rates.	9	-	-	100	19.00
		7.)Providing rest of J.W.Pattis of 6 at minimum.					
		6X4 - 24 Rft.	0.50	-	-	R.ft.	12.00
						Total	1146 1000.00
						Say Rs.	1120 1100.00

Note:- The rates adopted in this estimate are provided with due consideration for the free labour that will be put in by the owner(builder) and his family, the availalibility of old wooden materials for doors and windows and roof from his his old house and remaining wood required will be made available to the persons at concessional rates or free of cost.

Deputy Engineer,
Railway Irrigation Sub-Di

SDJ.24-11.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Note:- The rates adopted in this estimate are provided with due consideration for the free labour that will be put in by the builder and his family, the availability of old wooden materials for doors and windows and roof from his old house and the remaining wood required will be made available to the persons at concessional rates or free of cost.					

List showing the persons to whom loans of subsidy or both is proposed to be granted by the Government for erecting residential Buildings etc.

S.No.	Name of the head or the appropriated family.	No. of persons in his family.	Total amount he get -s on account of Agstn.	The amt. he is required to spend for family other Schemes.	The net amount remaining with him.	The amt. that he could spare for the constr-uction of building.	The amount loan or subsidy to be granted to them for erecting a home..... Loan.	Subsidy.	Total.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8(a)	8(b)	8(c)	9
				Village:-Kyadikop.						
1.	Smt.Laxmi kom Venkanna Dasar.	1	139/-	32-50	106-50	--	--	400-00	400-00	
2.	Sri Rama Yellappa Naik.	7	357/-	32-50	324-50	--	--	400-00	400-00	
3.	Sri Mastya Hanuma Naik.	11	299/-	65-00	234-00	--	600-00	200-00	800-00	
4.	Sri Venka father Bhangari	3	--	--	--	--	--	400-00	400-00	
5.	Sri Bomma Channa Naik.	7	324/-	65-00	259-00	--	600-00	200-00	800-00	
6.	Smt.Laxmi kom Ravalu Naik.	4	103/-	32-50	70-50	--	--	400-00	400-00	
7.	Smt.Kordevi kom Bommu Naik.	5	--	--	--	--	--	400-00	400-00	
8.	Sri Mukund Kallappa Waddar.	4.	--	--	--	--	--	400-00	400-00	
							1200-00	2800-00	4000-00	

gvs

Assistant Commissione
Sirsi Division, SIRSI.

SCHEME No.V:

1. Name of the Scheme: Granting of facilities to the expropriated families due to sub-mergance of their immoveable properties under the proposed Yamagalli storage.

2. Brief description and its justification. As declared in Scheme No.I, 38 expropriated families are to be rehabilitated at Gungsur by establishing an agricultural Colony. These families are to be provided with building plots and helped suitably to construct their houses, as stated under Scheme No.IV. As soon as these houses are completed, the expropriated families are to be transported with their belongings from their existing residences at the Villages of Janageri, Kyadikop, Hosko and Yamgalli to the newly established agricultural colony. The approximate distance between these two places is three miles. It is also estimated that the belonging of these 38 families will be about 100 cart loads and the transport charges per cart load is Rs.3/-. So, the total estimated transport charges comes to Rs.300/-. As suggested at the time of the visit of the Honourable Minister for P.W.D., the whole of the Transport Charges are to be borne by the Government.

3. Agency for the execution of the Schemes:- Same as proposed under SCHEME No.I:

4. Cost to be met by Government: Rs.300/-

Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division, Sirsi.

S C H E M E. V-A

transport

1. Name of the Scheme: Granting of facilities to the expropriated families due to submergence of their immoveable properties under the proposed ~~Hunnegadda~~ at Storage Dam (Kyadikop.)

2. Brief description of the Scheme and its instification: As declared in Scheme No.I, 14 expropriated families are to be rehabilitated at Kyadikop by establishing an Agricultural Colony. These families are to be provided with building plots and helped suitably to construct their houses, as stated under Scheme No.IU. As soon as these houses are completed, the expropriated families are to transport their belongings from their existing residences at the village of Kyadikop and Yamagalli, and to the newly established Agricultural Colony. The approximate distance between these two places is one to two miles. It is ~~he~~ also estimated that the belonging of these 14 families will be about 30 cart loads and the transport charges at cart load Rs.3/- per trip is estimated to be Rs.90/-. As suggested at the time of the visit of the Hon. Minister for P.W.D., the whole of the transport charges are to be borne by the Govt.

3. Agency for the execution of the Scheme: Same as proposed under Scheme No.I.

4. Cost to be met by Govt.: Rs.90/-.

(L.R.Naik.)
Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division,
Sirsi.

SCHEME No.VI:

1. Name of the Scheme:- PROVISION FOR SOCIAL SERVICES.

2. Brief description and its justification :- The population of the agricultural Colony proposed to be established at Gungsur will be 900. This is sufficiently a huge population and it is necessary for the healthy development of the Colony that it should be provided with the minimum amenities of life like the Drinking Water Supply, a school building, a Society Building, a chavadi building and a recreation Hall. The Plans and estimates of these Public amenities have been prepared by the Deputy Engineer i/c Rehabilitation and they are enclosed herewith. It is seen therefrom that the cost of the scheme comes to as under:

1. Drinking Water Well.	Rs. 7,000/-
2. Village Panchayat Office.	Rs. 3,204/-
3. Village Chavadi.	Rs. 3,204/-
4. Community Hall, Samaj Mandir-cum-Library Building.	Rs. 3,204/-
5. Society building.	Rs. 3,204/-
6. One Room School building.	Rs. 3,204/-
7. Temple.	Rs. 5,000/-
8. Village name board.	Rs. 100/-
Total.	Rs. 28,120/-

The people to be rehabilitated are poor and illiterate and they are not in a position to bear the cost of these amenities. So, the whole cost will have to be borne by Government. As suggested at the time of the visit of the Hon. Minister for P.W.D. to the Storage Dam on 18-9-1958, Item Nos 1,2 and 6 to 8 will have to be borne from

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Storage Reservoir Project near Yemgalli. Rehabilitation of ousted out population Well at Gungsur.					
1 No.		(1). Constructing a 70' Deep drinking water well at Gungsur, as per the plan etc. Complete.	7000			Each.	7000.00
		Total Rs.					7000.00

Executive
Deputy Engineer, 7/12/57
Haliyal Irrigation Sub. Dn.
Haliyal.

SDJ-7-12.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 e. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Storage Reservoir Project. Rehabilitation of ousted out population. ~~Chavadi~~ cum Panchayat Hall. at Gungsur					
534 S.ft.		(1). Constructing a panchayat - Hall ~~cum Chavadi~~ at Gungsur as per the type plan etc. - Complete.	6.00			S.ft. of Plinth area	3204.00
		Total Rs.					3204.00

Deputy Engineer,
Haliyal Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Haliyal.

SDJ.7-12.

(79)

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of work. Dharna Storage Reservoir Project.					
		Rehabilitation of ousted out population.					
		Chavadi ~~cum Panchayat Hall~~ at Gungaur.					
534	S.ft. plinth area.	(1). Constructing a ~~panchayat Hall cum~~ Chavadi at Gungaur as per the type plan etc. Complete.	6.00			S.ft. of plinth area.	3204.00
						Total Rs.	3204.00

Deputy Engineer, 7/12/54
Haliyal Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Haliyal.

50J.7-12.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revis

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Storage Reservoir Project near Yergalli.					
		Rehabilitation of ousted out population at Gungsur.					
		~~Society Office.~~ Library building cum Communityhall.					
524	S.ft. of plinth area	(1). Constructing a ~~society office~~ library building cum communityhall at Gungsur as per the type plan etc. Complete.	6.00			S.ft. of plinth area	3204.00
				Total Rs.			3204.00

Deputy Engineer,
Haliyal Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Haliyal.

SDJ.7-12.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Storage Reservoir Project near Yemgalli.					
		Rehabilitation of ousted out population at Gungsur.					
		Society Office.					
534	S.ft. of plinth area	(1). Constructing a society office at Gungsur as per the type plan etc. Complete.	6.00			S.ft. of plinth area	3204.00
		Total Rs.					3204.00

G. Inamdar 7/12/57.
Deputy Engineer,
Haliyal Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Haliyal.

SDJ.-7-12.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 e. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Storage Reservoir Project. Rehabilitation of ousted out population. School Building. at Gungsur.					
534 S.ft.		(1). Constructing a primary School Building of single room at Gungsur as per the type plan etc. Complete.	6			S.ft. of Plinth area.	3204.00
		Total Rs.					3204.00

Deputy Engineer,
Haliyal Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Haliyal.

SDJ. 7-12.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 e. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Storage Reservoir Project near Yemagalli. Rehabilitation of ousted out population at Gungsur. Temple.					
		1. Constructing a Temple as per the plan including fixing idol, etc. Complete.	5000			Lump.	5000.00
		Total Rs.					5000.00

P Hiremath 7/12/58.
Deputy Engineer,
Haliyal Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Haliyal.

SDJ.7-12.

S C H E M E. VI-A

1. Name of the Scheme: Provisions for Social Services to the ex-propriated families of Bhatghar Reservoir Project & their rehabilitation at Kyadikop.

2. Brief Description of the Scheme: The population of the Agricultural Colony proposed to be established at Kyadikop will be about ~~900~~ 167. It is necessary for the Healthy Development of the Colony that it should be provided with minimum amenities of life like the drinking Water Supply and a School Building. The Plans and Estimates of these Public amenities have been prepared by the Deputy Engineer in-c of Rehabilitation and they are enclosed herewith. It is seen therefrom that the cost of the Scheme comes to as under:

Drinking Water Well.	Rs.	5,500/-
One room School Building.	Rs.	3,204/-
Total	Rs.	8,704/-

The people to be rehabilitated are poor and illiterate and they are not in a position to bear the cost of these amenities. So, the whole cost will have to be borne by Government as suggested at the time of the visit of the Hon. Minister for P.W.D. to to the Storage Dams on 18-9-1958.

Agency for the execution of the Scheme: Same as in Scheme No.I.

Cost to Govt.:- Rs.8,704/-

(L.R.Naik.)
Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division,
Sirsi.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 251 a. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharama Reservoir Project near Yemagalli.					
		Rehabilitation of ousted out population.					
		Draw well at Kyadkop.					
1 No.		1). Constructing a drinking water well as per the plan including fixing water drawing arrangements etc. Complete. Depth of well - should be at least ~~70'~~ 65'	5500 ~~7000~~			Each.	5500 ~~7000~~.00
		Total Rs.					5500 ~~7000~~.00

Deputy Engineer,
Halival Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Halival.

SDJ.7-12.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 e. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Reservoir Project near Lemagalli. Rehabilitation of ousted out population, at Kyadikop.					
		Constructing a single room primary School Building at Kyadikop.					
534	S.ft. of plinth area.	1) Constructing a single roomed primary school building at Kyadikop as per type plan etc. Complete.	6.00			S.ft. of plinth area	3204
		Total Rs.					3204

Deputy Engineer,
Haliyal Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Haliyal.

SDJ 7-12.

APPENDIX.VI.

SCHEMES FOR ESTABLISHING AGRICULTURAL COLONY AT KAMANALLI IN HANGAL TALUKA.

these lands. The whole of this area is covered with forest trees and Revenue trees. It is true that there are certain valuable trees also. But the land is needed for an important purpose of rehabilitation. It is to be disforested and spared for the purpose of cultivation. Before the land is disforested, the forest authorities will have to be requested to remove the trees standing on the land along with their stumps. In case it is not feasible for the forest authorities to remove the stumps, this work will have to be entrusted to the PW.D. authorities and stumps got removed. It is estimated by the Deputy Engineer i/c of Rehabilitation that the cost of removing stumps by means of bull-dozer comes to Rs.100/-per acre. So, the total cost of removing stumps etc. comes to Rs.38,000/-.

After these lands are thus cleared off, it requires to be tractor-ploughed, levelled and bunded into suitable fields. It is estimated that the tractor-ploughing charges at the prescribed rate of Rs.30/-per acre comes to Rs.11,640/-and the cost of levelling and bunding into fields comes to Rs.20/- per acre and for 388 acres, Rs.7,760/-. Thus, it is seen that the total cost of reclamation comes to Rs.58,200/- as detailed below:

a.Clearing of jungle by bull-dozer etc.	Rs.38,800/-
b.Tractor ploughing	Rs.11,640/-
c.Levelling and bunding	Rs. 7,760/-
Total.	Rs.58,200/-

3.Cost how to be met.

The approximate compensation amount that will be received by the 99 families amounts to Rs.73,347/-. It is therefore, equitable that they should bear the full charges of tractor-ploughing levelling and bunding i.e. they shd. bear Rs.19400/-.

SCHEME No.I:

1. Name of the Scheme:- Reclamation of Forest lands drawn from Forest S.Nos.16 of Shivalli, 60 of Kannalli, 66 and 67 of Mantgi and Government Waste Lands in Shivalli in Hangal Taluka.

2. Brief description of the Scheme & its justification.:- As ascertained in the meeting of expropriated families 99 families from Jangeri, Hoskop, Wadgeri and Bengi have expressed their desire to settle on these lands. The details of these families regarding the lands held by them either as tenant or owner or both, the area of the land to be acquired from them, the approximate compensation amount they are likely to receive for acquisition of their lands are as shown in the accompanying list. (Vide pages).

The total acreage lost by them as a result of acquisition is approximately 373 acres of tari lands. It is therefore equitable to allot an equal area to them for the purpose of cultivation. With this aim in view, the forest S.No. in question were surveyed and the approximate area that could be drawn is ascertained. The sketch showing the availability of this area is prepared and enclosed herewith for ready reference. As seen therefrom, the area that can be drawn approximately comes to 388 acres, out of which 347.5 is tari and the remaining is khuski or dry crop lands. It is therefore proposed to reclaim an area of 388 acres for the purpose.

RECLAMATION MEASURES:

This area has been inspected in the company of the heads of the families to be rehabilitated and it is found to be suitable for the purpose of cultivation. The Villagers strongly desired to have

But in case the work of levelling and bunding is done by them through their own manure labour only, the cost of tractor ploughing will be recovered from them out of the ~~xxxk~~ compensation amount to be paid to them. As regards the bull-dozing charges of Rs. 38,800/= it is proposed that it should be borne by the P.W.D. as sugge--sted at the time of visit of Hon.Minister for P.W.D.on 18-9-1958 at Malgi[illegible].

As regards the families who are agricultural coolies and who do not receive any compensation amount, the whole cost of tractor ploughing and levelling and bunding which comes to Rs. 3050/= for the area of 6 acres land proposed to be allotted to them will have to be borne by Government in the 1st instance, as they are extremely poor and as they are unable to bear the cost at one stretch. It is therefore proposed to recover it in easy 5 instalments begining from the 4th year of their settlement on these lands free of interest.

4.Agency for the execution of the Scheme:

The people to be rehabilitated are illiterate Villagers.A constant goading and approach to them are necessary for the successfuly establishment of the proposed agricultural colony.Sufficient enthusiasm is required to be created ~~xxkk~~ ~~kk~~ in them. Their confidence must be won over.This is possible if a suitable Committee of Officials and non-officials is formed for the purpose. It is therefore proposed to form the Committee of "ACTION" consisting of the following Officials:-

(P.T.O....)

1.The Assistant Commissioner, Savanur Dn.Savanur. — Chairman.

2.The Deputy Engineer, i/c of Rehabilitation.

3.The Tahasildar Hangal. — Secretary.

4.The District Agricultural Officer, Dharwar.

5.Three representatives of the expropriated families.

5.Cost to Government: Rs. 38,800/-

(L.R.Naik.)
Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division,
S I R S I

31. Jamalsab Kasheemsab	--	7-23-0	7-23-0	--	7-23-0	7-23-0	278/-	5-0-0	--	5-0-0	0-20-0
32. Mabisab Kasheemsab.	-- ✓	--	--	--	--	--	--	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0
33. Majidkhan Ajidkhan.	25-38-0	1-35-0	27-28-0	13-19-00	25-0	13- 4-0	3889/-	6-0-0	--	6-0-0	0-20-0
34. Akbarkhan Majidkhan.	--	3-12-0	3-12-0	--	3-12-0	3-12-0	91/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0
35. Shankar Parmeshwar Hegde.	--	5-17-0	5-17-0	--	5-17-0	5-17-0	294/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0
36. Imambi kom Peersab.	-- ✓	0- 3-12-	0- 3-12	--	--	--	--	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	--
37. Budda Timmanna Waddar	-- ✓	0- 2-0	0- 2-0	--	--	--	--	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	--
38. Shivu Kallappa Waddar	--	4-10-0	4-10-0	--	4-10-0	4-10-0	78/-	3-0-0	--	3-0-0	0-20-0
	89- 7-0	75-31-8	164-38-8	16-19-0	57-38-12	74-17-12	14499/-	109-0-0	--	109-0-0	17-10-0

Village:- H O S K O P.

39. Basawanni Shivalingayya	-- ✓	--	--	--	--	--	719/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	--
40. Gadigeyya Veerabhadrayya	3-35-0	8-29-0	12-24-0	1-30-0	3-35-0	5-25-0	1550/-	5-0-0	--	5-0-0	0-20-0
41 Basawanneppa Gadigeppa	--	4- 9-0	4- 9-0	--	3- 8-0	3-8-0	228/-	3-0-0	--	3-0-0	0-20-0
42 Mahadevappa Basappa	53-30-6½	12-33-0	66-23-5½	23-3-5½	--	23- 3-5½	11755/-	20-0-0	--	20-0-0	2-10-0
43 Ira Mannanna Waddar	--	0- 1-4	0- 1-4	--	--	--	35/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0
44 Tippa Hanmanna	--	2-39-12	2-39-12	--	2-37-0	2-37-0	165/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0
45 Shiddawwa kom Hanmanna	--	0- 1-0	0- 1-0	--	--	--	52/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0
46 Kallappa Irappa	2-37-0	8- 3-4	11- 0-4	2-10-0	6-31-0	9- 1-0	883/-	5-0-0	--	5-0-0	0-20-0
47 Irappa Basawanneppa	3-22-0	6-31-0	10-13-0	3-22-0	5- 8-0	8-30-0	1657/-	3-0-0	--	3-0-0	0-20-0
48 Husseinsab Hasansab.	0-x2-8	0- 2-8	0- 2-8	--	--	--	40/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	--
49 Husseinsab Badesab.	--	4- 9-8	4- 9-8	--	2-32-0	2-32-0	155/-	3-0-0	--	3-0-0	0-20-0
50. Peersab Allisab.	--	15-20-0	15-20-0	--	15-13-0	15-13-0	542/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0
51. Chamansab Rajesab.	--	10-11-0	10-11-0	--	10-11-0	10-11-0	289/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0
52 Mardasab Husseinsab. ✓	-- ✓	--	--	--	--	--	52/-	2-0-0	2-	2-0-0	--
53. Dawalsab Ibrahimsab. ✓	-- ✓	--	--	--	--	--	22/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	--
54. Tirkayya Shivayya ✓	-- ✓	--	--	--	--	--	57/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	--
55. Basangouda Mahadevappa Gouda.	9-37-0	4-39-0	14-36-0	7-25-0	4-39-0	12-24-0	2851/-	3-0-0	--	3-0-0	0-20-0
56 Kotragouda Mahadevappa Gouda.	9-37-0	0- 0-0	9-37-0	7-25-0	--	7-25-0	2509/-	5-0-0	--	5-0-0	0-20-0
57 Ningappa Channaveerappa	6-25-0	--	6-25-0	5- 8-0	--	5- 8-0	2077/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0
58 Hanmant Karbasappa	--	2-37-0	2-37-0	--	2-37-0	2-37-0	177/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0
59. Basawanneppa Mallarappa	5- 0-0	--	5- 0-0	3- 4-0	--	3- 4-0	1260/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0
60. Mahalingayya Shivalingayya	17- 7-0	7-16-0	24-23-0	16- 8-0	--	16- 8-0	3434/-	10-0-0	--	10-0-0	0-20-0
61. Ishwar father Irappa	6-38-0	6-27-0	13-25-0	--	6-27-0	6-27-0	429/-	5-0-0	--	5-0-0	0-20-0
62. Shiddappa Hanmantappa ✓	-- ✓	--	--	--	--	--	30/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	--
63. Narashimhappa Neelappa ✓	-- ✓	--	--	--	--	--	57/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	--
64 Somangouda Mahadevappa	11- 9-0	--	11-9-0	--	11- 9-0	11- 9-0	760/-	5-0-0	--	5-0-0	0-20-0
65 Gouda minor gardian Mahadeva Gouda.											
65. Shivaputra father Channa basappa minor gardian Mahadev Gouda.	9-31-0	--	9-31-0	5-38-0	--	5-38-0	1788/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NAMES OF PERSONS WHO ARE TO BE REHABILITATED IN SHIVALLI AND KAMANALLI VILLAGES IN HANGAL TALUKA OF DHARWAR DISTRICT.

S. No.	Name of the affected persons.	Area of land held by him as Owner.	Tenant.	Total.	Area of land to be acquired. Owner.	Tenant.	Total.	The apprxmt. compensation he is likely to receive.	Area of land to be allotted for cultivation..... Tari.	Khuski	Total.	House site.	Whether he needs financial help
1	2	3(a)	3(b)	3(c)	4(a)	4(b)	4(c)	5	6(a)	6(b)	6(c)	6(d)	7
					Village:-J A N A G E R I.								
1.	Badesab Chamansab	--	--	--	--	--	--	29/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	--	
2.	Karimsab Wd.Sabjansab.	--	2-20-0	2-20-0	--	2-20-0	2-20-0	72/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
3.	Mardasab Moulasab.	--	0- 2-0	0- 2-0	--	0- 2-0	0- 2-0	73/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	--	
4.	Fakirasab Wd.Budansab.	--	5- 5-0	5- 5-0	--	5- 3-0	5-3-0	279/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
5.	Budansab Hasansab.	--	--	--	--	--	--	35/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-10-0	
6.	Giddmardansab Moulasab.	--	0- 1-0	0- 1-0	--	--	--	40/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
7.	Budansab Wd.Dawoodsab.	--	0- 1-4	0- 1-4	--	--	--	86/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
8.	Moulasab Mardansab.	--	0- 2-0	0- 2-0	-----	--	--	46/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-10-0	
9.	Aurangsab Imamsab.	10-14-0	0- 5-0	10-19-0	--	--	--	75/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
10.	Dumma Kalya Waddar	--	2-8-0	2-8-0	--	--	--	58/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-10-0	
11.	Hanmant Timmanna	--	2-14-0	2-14-0	--	2-0-0	2-0-0	127/-	6-0-0	--	6-0-0	4- 0-0	
12.	Khadarsab Wd.Feersab	--	3-12-0	3-12-0	--	3-12-0	3-12-0	52/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
13.	Hanmant Huliyappa Waddar	--	0- 6-0	0- 6-0	--	--	--	82/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
14.	Timma Yella Andagi	7-19-0	0- 5-0	7-24-0	4- 0-0	--	4-0 -0	1524/-	4-0-0	0-	4-0-0	0-20-0	
15.	Budya Rama Waddar	--	0- 3-4	0- 3-4	--	--	--	98/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
16	Keriya Budya Waddar	0-x-8	0- 5-8	0- 5-8	--	--	--	29/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	--	
17	Hanmant Holiyappa Waddar	9-18-0	0- 9-0	9-27-0	--	--	--	41/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	--	
18	Sunka Iranna Waddar	12-23-0	0- 2-0	12-25-0	--	--	--	52/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	--	
19	Kallakka Hanmanta Waddar	--	8- 7-0	8- 7-0	--	8 -5-0	8-5-0	399/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
20.	Parmeshwari father Dyawappa.	--	2-20-8	2-20-8	--	2-20-0	2-20-0	129/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
21.	Imamsab Husseinsab.	--	--	--	--	--	--	41/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
22.	Nannibi kom Hasansab.	--	2-15-0	2-15-0	--	--	--	159/-	3-0-0	--	3-0-0	0-20-0	
23.	Miyasab Allisab.	--	--	--	--	--	--	52/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
24.	Diwansab Meersab.	--	--	--	--	--	--	29/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
25.	Modinbi kom HajratSab.	--	--	--	--	--	--	40/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
26.	Imamsab Mardansab	--	--	--	--	--	--	40/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
27.	Hasansab Muktumsab.	--	3-22-0	3-22-0	--	3-21-0	3-21-0	189/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
28.	Bhima Hanmanta Bajantri	--	--	--	--	--	--	29/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
29.	Rudrappa Gurappa Agadi.	--	2-12-0	2-12-0	--	--	--	81/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
30.	Rudrappa Dawoodsab Kashimsab	23-20-0	23- 5-0	46-25-0	--	12-27-0	12-27-0	2028/-	4-0-0				

1	2	3(a)	3(b)	3(c)	4(a)	4(b)	4(c)	5.	6(a)	6(b)	6(c)	6(d)	7
66.	Husseinmiya Wd.Hasanmiya -sab of Wadgeri.	32-18-0	--	32-18-0	20-36-0	--	20-36-0	5038/-	15-0-0	--	15-0-0	0-20-0	
67.	Mastya Hanuma Naik.	31- 6-0	--	31- 6-0	14-22-0	--	14-22-0	4814/-	10-0-0	--	10-0-0	0-20-0	
68.	Subnay Bhairya Naik.	--	4-28-0	4-28-0	--	4-28-0	4-28-0	123/-	3-0-0	--	3-0-0	0-20-0	
		204-12-5½	100- 8-4	304-20-9½	123-0-5½	69-26-0	192-26-5½	45578/-	121-0-0	--	121-0-0	13-0-0	

vgm:
vss:

Assistant Commissioner.
Sirsi Division, SIRSI.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NAMES OF PERSONS WHO ARE TO BE REHABILITATED IN SHIVALLI XXX in HANGAL TALUKA FROM SIRSI TALUKA.

S. No.	Name of the persons affected.	Area of land held by him. Owner.	Tenant.	Total.	Area of land to be acquired. Owner.	Tenant.	Total.	Apxmt. compn. he is likely to receive.	Area of land to be allotted for cultivation. Tari.	Khuski.	Total.	House site.	Whether he needs financial help ?
1	2	3(a)	3(b)	3(c)	4(a)	4(b)	4(c)	5	6(a)	6(a)	6(c)	6(d)	7
					Village:- B E N A G I.								
1.	Rama Bhistha	8-14-0	--	8-14-0	--	2-32-0	2-32-0	690/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	~~0-20-0~~	
2.	Anya Nagy	--	4-28-0	4-28-0	--	4-28-0	4-28-0	158/-	3-0-0	--	3-0-0	0-20-0	
3.	Fakira Kanna	--	3- 0-0	3-0-0	--	3- 0-0	3-0-0	106/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
		8-14-0	7-28-0	16-2-0	--	10-20-0	10-20-0	1328/-	7-0-0	--	7-0-0	1-0-0	
					Village:- W A D A G E R I.								
4.	Gafar Wd.Husseinsab.	8-39-0	11-30-0	20-29-0	8-39-0	10-0 -0	18-39-0	2607/-	12-0-0	--	12-0-0	1-20-0	
							Plus	4830/-					
5.	Modinsab Wd.Moulasab.	--	6-29-0	6-29-0	--	6-29-0	6-29-0	171/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
							Plus.	144/-					
6.	Basawanni Gadigeppa	--	4- 8-0	4-8-0	--	4- 8-0	4- 8-0	72/-	His name is already included in the list of Mundgod Taluka.				
7.	Gausu Wd.Namajamiya.	4-14-0	3-29-0	8- 1-0	3-10-0	3-29-0	6-39-0	602/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
							Plus.	288/-					
8.	Kariya Dyama.	--	6- 8-0	6- 8-0	--	6- 8-0	6- 8-0	161/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
							Plus.	144/-					
9.	Kallappa Irappa.	--	6- 6-0	6- 6-0	--	6- 6-0	6- 6-0	149/-	His name is already included in the list of Mundgod Taluka.				
10.	Peersab Rajesab.	--	3-0 -0	3- 0-0	--	3- 0-0	3-0-0	93/-	3-0-0	--	3-0-0	0-20-0	
						Cost of house.		157-50 nP					
11.	Gadigeppa Irabhadrappa	--	5-19-0	5-19-0	--	5-19-0	5-19-0	138/-	His name is already included in the list of Mundgod Taluka.				
12.	Hanmantappa Durgappa	--	5-13-0	5-13-0	--	2-29-0	2-29-0	64/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
							Plus.	173/-					
13.	Malla Malla	--	10-29-0	10-29-0	--	10-29-0	10-29-0	232/-	8-0-0	--	8-0-0	0-20-0	
							Plus.	173/-					
14.	Yella Timma	--	4-26-0	4-26-0	--	4-26-0	4-26-0	47/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
15.	Budan Wd.Dawood Sab.	--	6-16-0	6-16-0	--	6-16-0	6-16-0	69/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
							Plus.	288/-					
16.	Dyawa Sannahudga	--	5-37-0	5-37-0	--	5-37-0	5-37-0	116/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
17.	Yella Sunka.	--	4-21-0	4-21-0	--	4-21-0	4-21-0	135/-	3-0-0	--	3-0-0	0-20-0	
							Plus.	144/-					
18.	Mahammadalli Yella	--	7-13-0	7-13-0	--	2-23-0	2-23-0	62/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	0-20-0	
							Plus.	173/-					

ntinued.... on page 2.)

1	2	3(a)	3(b)	3(c)	4(a)	4(b)	4(c)	5	6(a)	6(b)	6(c)	6(d)	7
19.	Sayyed Hussein Sayyed Budan.	0-0	3-0-0	3-0-0	--	3-0-0	3-0-0 Plus.	75/- 202/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
20.	Nanne Wd.Kasheem Sab	--	2-37-0	2-37-0	--	2-37-0	2-37-0 Plus.	72/- 230/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
21.	Hajratsab Moulasab.	--	--	--	--	--	--	158-50 nP	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	Only house is to be evaluated.	
22	Hussein Wd.Hussein sab.	--	--	--	--	--	--	230/-	4-0-0	--	4-0-0	-do-	
23.	Modin Wd.Husseinsab.	--	--	--	--	--	--	230/-	3-0-0	--	3-0-0	-do-	
24.	Ibrahim Wd.Hassan Sab.	--	--	--	--	--	--	288/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	-do-	
25.	Imam Wd.Modinsab.	--	--	--	--	--	--	144/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	-do-	
26.	Pattu Gaususab.	--	--	--	--	--	--	115/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	-do-	
27.	S.M.NijamuddinKazi.	--	--	--	--	--	--	29/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0		
28.	Mahammad Sherif Nunnesab	--	--	--	--	--	--	29/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0		
29.	Mahammadalli.Mahammad Hussein.	--	--	--	--	--	--	144/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0		
30.	Tippa Keriya	--	2-18-0	2-18-0	--	2-18-0	2-18-0	55/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
31.	Irappa Honnanna	--	1-24-0	1-24-0	--	1-24-0	1-24-0	36/-	2-0-0	--	2-0-0	0-20-0	
		13-13-0	102-3-0	115-16-0	12-9-0	92-39-0	105-8-0	13270/-	94-0-0	--	94-0-0	10-0-0	

vgm:
vss:

Assistant Commissioner.
Sirsi Division, SIRSI.

SCHEME No.II.

1. Name of the scheme — Allotment of lands to 99 expropriated families ousted as a result of construction of Storage Dam at Yamgalli in Kamanalli Colony.

2. Brief description of the Scheme and its justification — As stated under Scheme No.I, the area to be drawn for the purpose of settlement of ousted families is 388 acres. The whole area has been inspected and it is estimated that an approximate area of 347 acres can be converted into irrigated area under the existing Kamanalli feeder Channel as shown in Plan attached to Scheme No.I.

The P.W.D. Authorities may be requested to remodel this feeder channel, so that the whole of the area may be brought under water. The remaining area of 41 acres will have to be treated as Dry Crop land or rainfed area. The question of allotment of lands to these families has been thoroughly discussed in the meeting of these families, chiefly with a view to formulate certain practical principle on the basis of which lands are to be allotte The concensus of the meeting was that lands shd.be allotted roughly in proportion to the lands lost by them as a result of acquisition proceedings. It has been taken into consider--tion the capacity of the families to bring the allotted lands under effective cultivat Besides this, the need of the family for the land has also been weighed with these broad principles ~~xxxx~~ in view. The lands measuring 388 acres have been proposed to be distribu as shown in the accompanying Statement.

For this purpose both the Tari and Dry Crop area will have to be suitably devided into as many blocks as there are families i.e. 9 blocks in Tari area and 73 blocks in Dry

Crop area. For the present these blocks will be measured roughly with the help of the P.W.D. authorities and allotted to them on the same tenure on which they held land which have been proposed to be acquired from them and then as soon as possible, get them measured and demarcated suitably by the Survey Department at the expense of the allottees themselves.

3. Cost how to be met.:- As regards the charges of measurement to be carried out by the Survey Dept., it is estimated that it comes to Rs.2752/- at the prescribed rate of Rs.16/ per Bloc. It is proposed that whole of the ~~purchase~~ cost should be borne by the allottees of lands. Out of 99 families, 75 families receive compensation amount of Rs.71,934-50 nP for lands as owner or tenant. So, it is equitable that they shd. pay for the land allotted to them equal to nominal Occupancy price of Rs.5/-for Tari land and Rs.3/-for Dry Crop. As regards the 24 families who are agricultural coolies, lands should be granted to them free of Occupancy price on New and impartible tenure.

4. Cost to Govt: SAME AS STATED UNDER SCHEME No.I:

5. Agency for the execution of the Scheme

-(L.R.Naik.)
Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division,
S I R S I.

SCHEME No.III.

1. Name of the Scheme — Layout of Plots for the purpose of establishin of the Agricultural Colony at KAMANALLI and allotment of Plots.

2. Brief description of the Scheme & its justification:- The list of families who are to be rehabilitat -ed showing the names of heads of the families number of persons in the family etc. is append~~ed at page~~ with Scheme No. I . It is seen therefrom that some families are large and some are small. It is also -n that some families are agricultural families and the rest are agricultural coolies and Village Artisans. In order therefore to me their demand, the Deputy Engineer i/c of Rehablitation has prepared a layout Plan which is appended ~~at page~~ herewith . In all 155 building plots have been laid out, out of whic 99 Plots are to be allotted to 99 families. Th list showing the allotment of these Plots is enclosed herewith, 56 residential Plots have been reserved to meet the future demand and being allotted to Kamanalli Villagers who may likely to settle there. Besides this, Plots for construction of Public Buildings like Village Panchayat Office, Chavadi, School, temple, mosque, Library-cum-Community Hall and Society Building, Dispensary have been provid As seen from the layout Plan, care has been taken to see that the Layout Plan is on the lines of 'MODEL PLAN' providing all Model facilities. Each residential Plot generally measures 60' x 120' i.e. 6.6 gunthas. As the Plot is sufficiently spacious both the residential house as well as the cattle shed can be constructed conveniently as per type Plan enclosed ~~at page~~ with Scheme No. III of Gungsur. The whole area that will be required for the purpose comes to 45 acres. It is proposed to draw this area out of the Forest S.No.60 o

Hamanalli.It is therefore, necessary to get this area disforested and spared for the purpose. The P.W.D.authorities are requested to move the Deputy Commissioner Dharwar to get this area disforested.

3.Cost of the Scheme

The area of 45 acres proposed for the layout of plots for the'AGRICULTURAL COLONY' is uneven and covered with Forest and Revenue trees.It is therefore not only necessary to remove trees but also to level it. As regards the removal of trees,it is proposed that the Forest authorities should be requested to take necessary steps to get the trees disposed off.They shd. also be requested to get the stumps of the trees removed. But in case ~~if~~ the removal of the stumps cannot be done by them the P.W.D.Authorities will have to be entrusted with this work and the cost of removal of stumps and levelling of grounds will be Rs.4,500/-at the rate of Rs.100/- ~~as estimated~~ per acre. After removal of stumps and levelling of ground, the D.I.R.will be requested to take necessary steps for the demarcation of plots.After demarcation, it is proposed to grant these Plots to these expropriated families on payment of Occupancy price of Rs.5/-per guntha,on Old Tenure It may be noted here that their house sites of these people have been acquired at this rate only.

The site has been approved recently by the Medical Officer of Health and the detailed survey is being conducted by the Deputy Engineer.It is necessary to construct Katch service Roads in the Colony including Cross-drainage and it is estimated that the total length of such roads comes to 3.02 miles, the cost of which comes to ~~Rx8,080/-~~ Rs.3,020/-.In addition to this, surface drains are to be constructed along with these Katcha Service Roads and the leggth of which as estimated by the Deputy Engineer comes to ~~Rx.3k,680/-~~ 31680 ft.the cost of which comes to Rs.1901/-.The necessary approach road with

necessary cross drainage work are to be constructed. It is estimated about one mile of approach road has to be constructed at an estimated cost of Rs.5,000/-.The cost of a cart track crossing and a foot bridge across Kamanahalli feeder and Dharma River are also necessary and their rough cost is estimated at Rs.3000/-and Rs.10,000/-respectively.It is also estimated that an amount of Rs.14,000/- for improving Kamanahalli feeder is necessary:

a.Removal of stumps and levelling of grounds.	Rs. 4,500/-
b.Demarcation of 155 Plots by the .I.L.R.	Rs. 1,550/-
c.Construction of Approach Road with cross drainage work.	Rs. 5,000/-
d.-do-Katcha service roads measuring 3.02 miles	Rs. 3,020/-
e.-do-surface drains.	Rs.1, 901/-
f.-do-cart track crossing.	Rs.
g.-do-foot bridge across Dharma River	Rs.10,000/-
h.Improvements to Kamanhalli feeder.	14,000/-
	Total. 39971/-

4.Cost how to be met

As the people to be rehabilitated who have been up-rooted from their houses and hearths, they have been put to serious inconveniences.It is therefore equitable that they should not be burdened with the cost of amenities noted above.It is therefore proposed that the whole cost of Rs.39,971/-should be borne by the P.W.D. from the Project grant placed at its disposal.

5.Agency for the execution of the Scheme:-

AS STATED IN SCHEME No.I.

SCHEME No.IV.

1. Name of the Scheme: Construction of residential houses and Cattle Sheds by the expropriated people at Kamanalli.

2. Brief description of the Scheme & its justification: As stated under Scheme No.III, it is proposed to allot 99 residential plots to 99 expropriated families. The question of getting suitable houses constructed for these people has been deliberated at length in their meeting and the concensus of the meeting was that A Model House based on a Plan and estimate adopted in the Community Project areas should be got constructed for each of the agricultural families. The ~~Layout~~ Plan proposed by the Deputy Engineer i/c of Rehabilitation is enclosed ~~herewith~~ with Scheme No. IV of Gungsu

As seen therefrom a care has been taken to provide sufficient living space in each house. The cattle shed is proposed to be constructed separately as shown in the Plan. In case of four large families bigger houses as per Plans ~~got~~ to be approved by the Committee of "ACTION" are proposed to be got donstruct -d In case of families who are agricultural coolies, it it proposed that their houses should be constructed as per specifications mentioned in the Government Scheduled Caste Housing Scheme. The Plan for ~~which is propos~~ this ~~ed~~ is prepared and enclosed with Scheme No. IV at page of Gungsu

In case of normal agricultural families it is estimated that the cost of the house including the cattle shed comes to Rs.1620/- and in the case of bigger families the same cost will be double.

Out of this amount of Rs.1620/-, an amount of Rs.1120/- is estimated to be the cost of

a residential house and the remaining of the cattle shed. For the present, the expropriated people have expressed their willingness to get the residential houses constructed according to the proposed Plan and Estimate. As regards the construction of the Cattle Shed it is left to them to get it constructed in suitable way out of the materials they take from the old house or out of the building materials they may get it from the Forest Dept. at concessional rates. Such construction will also be secured for them for getting their residential houses constructed. The question as to who should be given Government financial help for getting the residential house constructed was discussed at length in the meeting of the Villagers. It is proposed to grant loans or subidy to the persons mentioned in the accompanying list as detailed therein.

It is thus seen that an amount of Rs. 22,345|- is required to be granted as subsidy. It is proposed that the amount of Rs. 21450|- required for being granted as loan may be granted under Non-Agricultural Loans Act repayable in ten instalments. As regards the amount of subsidy this amount may be spared out of funds at the disposal of P.W.D.

(L.R.Naik.)
Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division,
S I R S I

List showing the persons to whom loan or subsidy or both is proposed to be granted by the Government for erecting their residential buildings.

S.No.	Name of the head of the expropriated family.	No.of persons in his family.	Total amt. he is likely to receive as compensation.	The amt.he is required to spent for family other Schemes.	The net amt. remaining with him.	The amt. that he could spare for the construction of residential bldgs	The amt.loan or subsidy to be granted to them for erecting a home. Loan.	Subsidy	Total.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8(a)	8(b)	8(c)	9
				Village:-J A N A G E RI.						
1.	Buddesab Chamansab.	2	29/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
2.	Karimsab Wd.Sabjansab	5	72/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
3.	Mardansab Moulasab.	5	23/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
4.	Fakirasab Moulasab.	4	279/-	200/	79/-	--	400/-	400/-	800/-	
5.	Budansab Hasansab.	3	35/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
6.	Giddamardansab Moulasab	4	40Ø/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
7.	Budansab Dawoodsab.	5	86/-	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	800/-	
8.	Moulasab Madarsab	5	46/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
9.	Aurangsab Imamsab.	9	75/-	--	--	--	450/-	150/-	600/-	
10.	Dumma Kala Waddar	2	58/-	--	--	--	300/-	400/-	700/-	
11.	Hanmant Timmanna Belwatti	10	127/-	--	--	--	300/-	400/-	700/-	
12.	Khadersab Peersab.	4	52/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
13.	Hanmant Huligeppa Waddar	9	82/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
14.	Budya Rama Waddar.	4	98/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
15.	Keriya Budya alias Timma Waddar.	2	29/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
16.	Hanmant Holiyappa Waddar.	3	41/-	--	--	--	450/-	150/-	600/-	
17.	Sunka Iranna Waddar	10	52/-	--	--	--	450/-	150/-	600/-	
18.	Kellakka kom Hanmant	3	399/-	200/	199/	700/-	450/-	150/-	600/-	
19.	Parameshwari fatherDewappal		129/-	100/	29/	--	--	400/-	400/-	
20.	Imamsab Husseinsab.	4	41/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
21.	Nannibi kom Hasansab.	5	159/-	150/	9/-	--	--	400/-	400/-	
22.	Miyasab Allisab.	6	52/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
23.	Diwansab Peersab.	5.	29/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
24.	Mhodinbi kom Hajaresab.	4.	40/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	
25.	Imamsab Wd.Mardansab.	3.	40/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8(a)	8(b)	8(c)
26.	Hasansab Muktumsab	7	189/-	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	800/-
27.	Bhima Hanmanta Bajantri	2	29/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-
28.	Rudrappa Gurappa Agadi	3	81/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-
29.	Nabhisab Kasheemsab.	4	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-	800/-
30.	Jamalsab Kasheemsab.	8	275/-	--	--	--	450/-	150/-	600/-
31.	Akbarkhan Majidkhan.	3	91/-	--	--	--	450/-	150/-	600/-
32.	Shankar Parmeshwar Hegde.	9.	294/-	200/-	94/-	--	200/-	400/-	600/-
33.	Imambi kom Peersab.	6	--	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-
34.	Budya Timmanna Waddar	4	--	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-
35.	Sivu Kalappa Waddar	3	73/-	--	--	--	200/-	400/-	600/-
				Village:- H O S K O P:					
36.	Baswanni Shivalingayya	6	719/-	100/-	619/-	1100/-	300/-	100/-	400/-
37.	Baswennappa Gadigeppa	3	228/-	150/-	78/-	1100/-	750/-	250/-	1000/-
38.	Ira Mannanna Waddar	3	35/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-
39.	Tippa Hanmanna Waddar	6	165/-	100/-	65/-	700/-	450/-	150/-	600/-
40.	Shiddawwa kom Hanmanna Waddar	3	52/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-
41.	Husseinsab Hassansab.	4	40/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-
42.	Husheinsab Badesab.	5	155/-	--	--	--	200/-	400/-	600/-
43.	Peersab Allisab.	6	542/-	--	--	1100/-	450/-	150/-	600/-
44.	Chamansab Rajesab.	5	289/-	200/-	891/-	1100/-	750/-	250/-	1000/-
45.	Mardansab Husseinsab.	13	52/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-
46.	Dawoodsab Ibrahimsab.	1	52/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-
47.	Tirkayya Shivayya	4	57/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-
48.	Hanmant Karbasappa Waddar	7	177/-	100/-	77/-	700/-	450/-	150/-	600/-
49.	Ishwar tande Irappa	4	429/-	250/-	179/-	700/-	450/-	150/-	600/-
50.	Shiddappa Hanmantappa	1	30/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-
51.	Nishimappa Neelappa Hindi.	3	57/-	--	--	--	--	400/-	400/-
52.	Subray Bhair Naik.	2	123/-	--	--	--	750/-	250/-	1000/-
							9850/-	17250/-	27100/-

vss:

Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division, SIRSI.

23.	Mohdin Wd.Hassansab.	4	230/-	150/-	80/-	700/-	400/-	220/-	620/-
24.	Ibrahim Wd.Hassansab.	7	288/-	100/-	188/-	700/-	300/-	200/-	500/-
25.	Imam Wd.Mohdinsab.	3	144/-	100/-	44/-	700/-	400/-	250/-	650/-
26.	Sattu Gausu Sab.	5	155/-	100/-	55/-	700/-	400/-	250/-	650/-
27.	S.S.Nijauddin-khaji.	2	29/-	100/-	--	700/-	500/-	200/-	700/-
28.	Mahammad Nadif Nannesab.	1	29/-	100/-	--	700/-	500/-	200/-	700/-
29.	Mahammadalli Mahammad-hussein.	4.	144/-	100/-	44/-	700/-	500/-	150/-	650/-
30.	Tippa Kariya	4	55/-	100/-	--	700/-	450/-	300/-	750/-
..	Irappa Honnanna	3	36/-	100/-	--	700/-	450/-	300/-	750/-
							11600/-	5095/-	16695/-

vss:

Assistant Commissioner.
Sirsi Division, SIRSI.

List showing the persons to whom loans and/or subsidy or both is proposed to be granted by the Government for erecting residential buildings.

S.No.	Name of the head of the expropriated family.	No.of persons in his family.	Total amt. he is likely to receive on account of acquisition.	The amt.he is required to spent for family other Schemes.	The net amt. remaining with him.	The amt. that he could spare for the construction of his residential bldg.	The amount loan or subsidy to be granted to them for erecting a residential building. Loan.	Subsidy	Total.	Remarks.
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8(a)	8(b)	8(c)	9.
				Village:-BENAGI:						
1.	Rama Bhista.	4	1064/-	100/-	964/-	1000/-	200/-	---	200/-	
2.	Anya Nagya	4	158/-	150/-	8/-	700/-	600/-	100/-	700/-	
3.	Fakira Kanna	5	106/-	100/-	6/-	700/-	600/-	100/-	700/-	
		13	1328/-	350/-	978/-	2400/-	1400/-	200/-	1600/-	
				Village WADGERI.						
4.	Gafar Wd.Hussainsab.	9	7437/-	600/-	6837/-	1100/-	--	--	--	
5.	Nhdin Wd.Maulasab.	6	315/-	200/-	115/-	700/-	500/-	100/-	600/-	
6.	Basawanni Gadigeppa	3	272/-	200/-	--	--	--	--	--	His name is already included in the list of Mundgod Taluka.
7.	Gousu Wd.Namajamiya	5	890/-	200/-	290/-	700/-	300/-	100/-	400/-	
8.	Keriya Dyawa	2	305/-	200/-	105/-	700/-	400/-	200/-	600/-	
9.	Kallappa Irappa	3	149/-	150/-	--	--	--	--	--	His name is already included in the list of Mundgod Taluka.
10.	Peersab Rajesab.	5	250/-	150/-	100/-	700/-	400/-	200/-	600/-	
11.	Gadigeppa Irabhadrappa	7	138/-	200/-	---	His name is already included in the list of Mundgod Taluka.				
12.	Hanmanta Durgappa	5	237/-	200/-	37/-	700/-	400/-	250/-	650/-	
13.	Malla Malla	9	405/-	400/-	5/-	700/-	500/-	200/-	700/-	
14.	Yella Timma	6	47/-	200/-	.-	700/-	500/-	200/-	700/-	
15.	Budan Wd.Dawoodsab.	6	357/-	200/-	157/-	700/-	300/-	250/-	550/-	
16.	Dyawa Sannhudga.	6	116/-	200/-	--	700/-	500/-	200/-	700/-	
17.	Yella Sunka	6	279/-	150/-	129/-	700/-	500/-	100/-	600/-	
18.	Mahamadalli Yella	7	235/-	200/-	35/-	700/-	500/-	150/-	650/-	
19.	Sayyed Hussein Sd.Budan.	4	277/-	100/-	177/-	700/-	350/-	200/-	550/-	
20.	Nanne Wd.Kasheemsab.	5	308/-	100/-	208/-	700/-	300/-	200/-	500/-	
21.	Hajratsab Maulasab.	3	158-50 nP	100/-	58-50 nP	700/-	450/-	200/-	650/-	

SCHEME No.V.

1. Name of the Scheme: Granting of facilities to the expropriated families due to submergance of their immoveable properties under the proposed Yamgalli Storage.

2. Brief description of the Scheme and its justification: As detailed in Scheme No.I, 91 expropriated families are to be rehabilitated at Kamanahalli by establishing an agricultural Colony. These families are to be provided with building plots and helped suitably to construct their houses as stated under Scheme No.IV. As soon as the houses are completed, the expropriated families are to transport their belongings from their existing residences at Village of Janageri, Wadgeri and Hoskopp and Yamgalli to the newly established agricultural Colony. The approximate distance between these two places is 8 miles. It is also estimated that the belonging of these 99 families will be about 242 cart loads and the transport charges per cartload is Rs.5/-. So the total estimated transport charges comes to Rs.1110/-. As instructed at the time of visit of the Hon. Minister for P.W.D. the whole of the transport charges are to be borne by the Government.

3. Agency for the execution of the Scheme: SAME AS PROPOSED UNDER SCHEME No.I.

4. Cost to be met by the Government: Rs.1,110/-.

(L.R.Naik.)
Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division, Sirsi.

vgm:

SCHEME No.VI:

1. Name of the Scheme:- Provisions for Social Services at Kamanalli

2. Brief description of the Scheme & its justification

The population of the agricultural Colony proposed to be established at Kamanalli will be 847. This is sufficiently huge population and it is necessary for the healthy development of the Colony that it should be provided with maximum amenities of life like the Drinking Water Supply, a School building, a Society building, a Chavadi building and a Recreation Hall, Dispensary, temple and mosque. The Plans and estimates of these public amenities have been prepared by the Deputy Engineer i/c of Rehabilitation and they are enclosed herewith. It is seen therefrom that the cost of these comes to as under:

1.	Two drinking Water Wells.	Rs. 14,000/-
2.	One Village Panchayat Office	Rs. 5,640/-
3.	Community Hall or Samaj Mandir-cum-Library Building. (Plans same as V.P.Office.)	Rs. 5,640/-
4.	Three-Room School building	Rs. 9,168/-
5.	Temple	Rs. 5,000/-
6.	Village Chavadi (Plans same as V.P.Office.)	Rs. 5,640/-
6(a).	Dispensary building	Rs. 3,204/- @
7.	Mosque.	Rs. 5,000/-
8.	Society building. (Plans same as V.P.Office.)	Rs. 5,640/-
	Total.	Rs. 55728/-
	+	3204/- @
		58932

The people to be rehabilitated are poor and illiterate and they are not in a position to bear the cost of these amenities. So the whole cost of items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6(a) and 7 will have to be borne by Government as instructed at the time of the visit of the Hon. Minister for P.W.D. to the Storage Dam on 18-9-1958. The cost of items 3, 6 and 8 will have to be borne from other available funds if any, in due course of time.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Storage Reservoir Project near Yamagalli. Rehabilitation of ousted out population at ~~Shivalli~~ Kamanahalli Wells.					
2 Nos.		Constructing 8' dia. wells 70' deep with U.C.R. masonry or R.C.C. steining, cattle trough, soak pit, parapets, etc. - Complete.	7000			Each.	14000.00
		Total Rs.					14000.00

Deputy Engineer,
Haliyal Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Haliyal.

EDJ.7-12.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Storage Reservoir Project near Yamagalli. Rehabilitation of ousted out population at ~~Shivalli~~ Kamanahalli.					
534 S.ft.	Plinth area	Constructing a Village Panchayat hall ~~cum Chavadi at Shivalli~~ at Kamanahalli, as per the ~~xxxx~~ type plan etc. - complete.	6.00			S.ft.	5640.00
		Total				Rs.	5640.00

Executive Engineer 7/12/57
Deputy Engineer,
Halival Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Halival.

SDJ.7-12.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Storage Reservoir Project near Yamagalli.					
		Rehabilitation of ousted out population at ~~Shivalli~~ Kamanahalli.					
		School Building.					
1528 S.ft. of plinth area.		(1). Constructing a School Building of three rooms at ~~Shivalli~~ Kamanahalli, as per the type plan etc. Complete.	6.00			S.ft. of plinth area.	9168.00
		Total				Rs.	9168.00

Deputy Engineer & Ex-officio
Haliyal Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Haliyal.

SDJ.7-12.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Storage Reservoir Project near Yemagalli. Rehabilitation of ousted out-population at ~~Shivalli~~ Kamanahalli Temple.					
1 No.		(1). Constructing a Temple including providing & fixing the idol etc. Complete.	5000			Each.	5000.00
		Total				Rs.	5000.00

Deputy Engineer,
Haliyal Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Haliyal.

SDJ.7-12.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revised)

Quantity.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Storage Reservoir near Yemagalli Rehabilitation of ousted out population at ~~Shivalli~~ Kamanahalli. Mosque.					
1 No.		(1). Constructing a mosque at ~~Shivalli~~ Kamanahalli as per the type plan etc. Complete.	5000			Lump.	5000.00
		Total Rs.					5000.00

Deputy Engineer,
Haliyal Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Haliyal.

SDJ.7-12.

ABSTRACT

P. W. D. 231 a. (Revised)

Qty.	Unit.	Items.	Rate. Rs.	a.	p.	Per.	Amount.
		B. F.					
		Name of Work:- Dharma Storage Reservoir Project near Yamagalli.					
		Rehabilitation of ousted out-populatio at ~~Shivalli~~ Kamanahalli Dispensary.					
534	S.ft. of Plinth area	Constructing a dispensary at ~~Shivalli~~ Kamanahalli as per the type plan etc. Complete.	6.00			S.ft. of plinth area.	3204.00
		Total Rs.					3204.00

Deputy Engineer. 9/12/57
Halival Irrigation Sub-Dn.
Halival.

SDJ 2-12

APPENDIX VII

INSTRUCTIONS ISSUED BY THE HON. MINISTER FOR P.W.D. AND ELECTRICITY ON 18-9-1958 REGARDING THE DHARMA RESERVOIR PROJECT TALUKA MUNDGOD, DISTRICT NORTH KANARA.

The estimates for Rehabilitation should provide for the following:-

(a) Lay out of new village sites and distribution of the same.

(b) Deforestation of the Village sites.

(c) Approach Roads with cross drainage works.

(d) Cross Drainage works in the lay out.

(e) Wells, as many as may be required.

(f) Schools, as per requirements.

(g) Temples, Mosques, etc., as they existed previously and only one of each type.

(h) One tank or Sokatte for the cattle and to also one for irrigation if possible.

(i) Pachayat Hall.

(j) Medical facilities,. This is optional and has to be provided in view of the merits of each case.

(k) Free transportation of the materials etc., of the ousted population to the new village sites.

The above amenities should be provided only in the case of those villages which will have to be Rehabilitated. Lump sum provision should be made for all the abde amenities, and necessary estimates should b e submitted for sanction.

A meeting of the villagers affected, the local leaders, Health Officer, and the P.W.D. Officers should be convened by the Deputy Commissioner. The selection of the village site should be done by the Deputy Commissioner in consultation with the Health Officer. Detailed plans and estimates of the buildings etc., should be prepared.

2. Building materials:- The Forest Department should issuo materials at concessional rates.

3. Land for cultivation:- The Deputy Commissioner, North Kanara, suggests that an area of about 200 acres just below the Dam, which is now o Reserved Forest attached to Veerapur Yamagalli and Janageri, should be immediately deforested and handed over to the Deputy Commissioner for distribution.

It is suggested that by the Deputy Commissioner, North Kanara that in addition to deforestation, the P.W.D. should take up uprooting of big tree stumps etc., free of cost. It is also suggested that 200 acres of land below the Dam may be brought under Irrigation by the High Level sluce. The Irrigation facilities should be provided even by construc-ing an out let at Higher level if necessary.

The Assistant Commissioner, Savanur, suggests that an area of about 350 acres attached to the Becharak Shivalli village and Charak Kamanalli village in Hanagal Taluka, shoul be deforested and kept at the disposal of the Revenue Department for Rehabilitation the ousted villagers. It is suggested that the Forest materials should be removed by the Forest Department and land released for distribution. The lan referred to above comes under the command of the

All the above..... P.T.O.

feeder of Shringeri Anicut.

All the above suggestions are prima facie acceptable. Action may be taken to move the Forest Department to release the lands. After the lands are released, the Superintending Engineer, Karnataka Irrigation Circle, should make such arrangements as em may be necessary

Local Leaders suggested construction of two canals, on the right and left Banks to irrigate about 4000 acres. This should be examined and decision taken early if sufficient water for irrigation is available.

Proposals along with plans and Estimates should be submitted for sanction. Apart from the independent ...tchcut arrangements to align the canal to feed the existing Banks should b e made.

Agricultural labour or tenants whose normal life is affected due to the construction of the project may be given lands free of cost if they like to settle in the new villages.

Below letter No.P.W.D. 4 DRP 58 dated the 18th October 1958 along with its accopmaniments regarding "Instructions issued by the Minister P.W.D. on - 18-9-1958 regarding the Dharma Project."

No.G.63/58

Office of the Executive Engineer
Irrigation Projects Division
Dharwar, 25the Oct. 1958.

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Engineer Irrigation Sub-Division Haliyal for information and necessary immediate action.

Copy forwarded to the Dpputy Engineer,Irrigation Sub-Division, Sirsi for infommation and necessary action as far as his Sub-Division is concerned.

Sd/-V.D.Kulkarni.
Executive Engineer
Irrigation Projects Division,
Dharwar.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

No.PWD 4 DRP 58

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudah,
Dated Bangalore, 18th October 1958.

From

Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To

The Executive Engineer,
Irrigation Projects Division,
Dharwar.

Sir,

Subject:-Instructions issued by Minister, P.W.D. on 18-9-58 regarding Dharma Project.

I am directed to return herewith a copy of the instructions issued by Minister, Public Works on 18-9-1958, duly confirmed, with the corrections made thereon.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-
(B.C.Angadi)
Under Secretary to Govt
Public Works Department.

Letter.

To.

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kanara, K A R W A R.

No.Gen.31. Sirsi...-12-1958.

Subject:-Dharma Reservior Project:
Rehabilitation of ousted population and Village sites affected due to the formation of storage Reservior.

Reference:-Your No.TRH-699 dated 19-3-1958 and TR4-8636(pt) dated 1-10-1958.

The construction of Storage Dam on the Dharma River near Yamgalli Village in Mundgod Taluka has been sanctioned by the Government, and the work is programmed to be completed by May, 1960. On completion of this work certain Villages will be affected. A statement showing the names of Villages, number of families and the population thereof is enclosed herewith (Vide Appendix I). As seen therefrom, the total number of Villages affected is 12, number of affected families is 227 , with the population of 1310.

The index map showing the dam site submergible area, area lying within two furlongs within maximum pool level is enclosed at appendix II.

In the Villages of Yamgalli and Kyadikoppa both cultivable area as well as the Gaothan and residential house sites are affected due to submerg:

In the Villages of Naginkeri, Jangeri, Hoskop, Bornagudde, Wadgeri and Bengi, the major portion of cultivable area will disappear as a result of submergan

It may be noted here that Village sites of Jangeri and Hoskop in Mundgod Taluka, Mudebail, Wadgeri and Bengi in Sirsi Taluka will have to be acquired as an Antimaleria and Public Health measures as intimated by the Director of Public Health, Government of Mysore.

Bangalore, under his No.BE4-1381 dated 9-11-1957 as they fall within a distance of two furlongs from maximum pool level of the proposed Reservior. A detailed Survey has been made as to how many expropriated families require rehabilitation measures. Out of the 227 families, it is gathered that 153 families are required to be rehabilitated by providing them with cultivable lands, house sites and other Public amenities like School building, Mosque, Temple etc.

The remaining 74 families have stated that they do not need any rehabilitation measures and they will make their own arrangements. However, some of them have demanded Forest lands for cultivation in lieu of lands acquired from them. Separate proposals regarding grant of lands etc. in favour of them will be submitted to the Deputy Commissioner Kanara for taking necessary action. Statements showing the names etc.of the families who do not require rehabilitation measures are enclosed (Appendix III and IV).

With a view to formulate suitable rehabilitation measures for the expropriated families, meetings of these families were convened and their various problems were dis-cussed. Out of 153 families to be rehabilitated , 54 families have proposed to be settled in Mundgod and Sirsi Talukas and the remaining 99 families have expressed their desire to settle in the neighbouring Villages of Shivalli and Kamanalli of Hangal Taluka.

Suitable lands both for the purpose of village sites as well as for cultivation were inspected. After great delibera-tion it has been decided to establish three Agricultural Colonies - one at Gungsur , one at Kyadikop of Mundgod Taluka and the third at Kamanalli in Hangal Taluka of Dharwar District. The cultivable lands and Village sites have been selected for the purpose in consultation with these families.The proposed Colony sites at Gungsur and Kyadikop have been inspected by the Medical Officer of Health North Kanara and they have been duly approved by him. As regards the Village site at Kamanalli,

177

the Medical Officer of Health Haveri has recently seen the site and the same has also been approved by him.

In order therefore, to establish the three Agricultural Colonies for the rehabilitation of the expropriated families successfully, suitable Schemes named below have been formulated and submitted herewith:

SCHEMES FOR ESTABLISHING AGRICULTURAL COLONIES AT i) GUNGSUR and ii) KYADIKOPPA IN MUNDGOD TALUKA.(Appendix V)

Scheme No.	Name of the Scheme.	Estimated cost to Government.
I	Reclamation of Forest Lands drawn from Forest S.Nos.28 of Veerapur, 30 and 32 of Jangeri (Total 289 acres)	Rs. 28,900-00
II.	Allotment of lands to 38 ex-propriated families.	Nil.
III.	Laying out and allotment of Plots for establishing the Colony at Gungsur.	Rs. 8,400-00
III-A	-do-at Kyadikoppa.	Rs. 5, 010-00
IV.	Construction of residential houses and cattle sheds of the expropriated families to be rehabilitated at Gungsur	Rs. 4,625-00 (Subsidy) Rs. 5,575-00 (Loan.)
IV-A.	-do-at Kyadikoppa.	Rs. 2,800-00 (Subsidy) Rs. 1,200-00 (Loan)
V.	Granting transport facilities to the expropriated families. at Gungsur.	Rs. 300-00
V-A	-do-at Kyadikoppa.	Rs. 90-00
VI.	Provision for Social Services at Gungsur.	Rs. ~~28,120-00~~ 18,508-00
VI-A	-do-at Kyadikoppa.	Rs. 8,704-00
	Total.	Rs. ~~93,724-00~~ 84,112-98 (Of which loan Rs.6775/-.)

84112
6775
77337

SCHEMES FOR ESTABLISHING AGRICULTURAL COLONY AT KAMANALLI IN HANGAL TALUKA .(Appendix VI)

Scheme No.	Name of the Scheme	Estimated cost to Government.
I.	Reclamation of Forest lands drawn from Forest S.Nos.16 of Shivalli and 60 of Kamanalli, 66 and 67 of Mantgi & Govt.Waste S.Nos.1,2,11,12,15 and 19 of Shivalli(Total area 388 acres)	Rs. ~~58,200-00~~ 38,800-00

1	2	3
II.	Allotment of lands to 99 expropriated families.	Nil.
III.	Laying out and allotment of Plots for establishing the Colony.	Rs. 39,971-00
IV.	Construction of residential houses and cattle Sheds of the expropriated families.	Rs. 22,345-00 (Subsidy) Rs. 21,450-00 (Loan).
V.	Granting transport facilities	Rs. 1,110-00
VI.	Provision for Social services	Rs. ~~51,490-00~~ 42,012-00
	Total.	Rs. ~~1,94,566-00~~ 1,75,166-00 (of which Rs. 1,53,716-00 Subsidy Rs. 21,450/- loan)

A B S T R A C T.

Colonies to be located at...	Subsidy.	Loan.
Gungsur and Kyadikoppa.	~~86,949-00~~ 77,337-00 1,36,796-00	6,775-00
Kamanalli.	~~1,53,716-00~~ ~~1,73,116-00~~	21,450-00
Grand Total.	~~2,40,665~~ 2,14,133-00	28,225-00

While formulating these Schemes, certain instructions given by the Honourable Minister for P.W.D. at the time of his visit to the Dam site on 18-9-1958, have also been taken into consideration. A note of instructions given by him is enclosed. (Appendix VII.)

Necessary sanction may therefore kindly be accorded for the implementation of the accompanying Schemes at an early date.

(L.R.Naik.)
Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division, Sirsi.

TOP PRIORITY PLEASE

EXPRESS LETTER

To

The Assistant Commissioner,
Sirsi Division, Sirsi.

No.TRA-8636(Pt) Karwar, 31-12-1958

Subject: Dharma Reservoir Project
Rehabilitation of ousted popula-
-tion and Village sites.affected
due to the formation of storage
reservoir.

Reference your letter No.Gen.31 dated nil -12-1958 on the subject noted above.

Please clarify the following discrepancies and bring your report supplying all the omissions whil coming to this office on 5-1-1959 to attend the coordination meeting: -

Scheme III

The list showing the allotment of plots to the ~~five~~ 38 families is not among the papers. The list should please be prepared now and furnished.

Please also state how you have proposed distribution of 42 plots among the 38 families.

Scheme No.III-A

Agaist Item 3 regarding cost of the Scheme you have stated that the cost of removal of stumps and levelling of grounds for the whole area of 11-29-0 acres except the area set apart for grove will be Rs- 275/- whereas in the concluding para against the same item you have mentioned that the cost of removal of stumps and levelling of grounds would be Rs.750/- Please reconcile this discrepancy.

Scheme VI

According to the instructions issued by the Hon'ble Minister for P.W.D. and electricity on 18-9-1959, the items of provision for social service given at 1,2,6 ,7 and 8 only are to be provided at Government cost. The source from which the cost of remaining three items regarding (1) Village Chav (2) Community Hall - Samaj Mandir - cum-lib building and (3) Society building, is to be me please be pointed out. It is also noticed tha have not submitted any proposals regarding the (d), (h) and (j) suggested by the Hon.'ble Minist

Appendix VI

Scheme VI

The sources from which the cost of provisions for social services given at items 3 6 is to be met, is not given.

Similarly you have not proposed any Schemes under items (d) (h) and (j) suggested by Hon'ble Minister.

(P.T.O.)

The papers of the case containing your proposals on the above subject are returned herewith. You are requested to reconcile the discrepancies and bring your proposals duly completed in all respects while coming to attend the coordination meeting on 5-1-1959 without fail.

Clear OC.

(M.S.Shankara Rao)
Deputy Commissioner, Kanara.

sbm.31/12.

31/12

Subject:- Dharma Reservoir Project.
Rehabilitation of ousted populati
and village sites affected due
the formation of storage
reservoir

No. Gen. 31
Sirsi, 5.1.195[illegible]

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Kanara

Reference: Your No. MA. 8636 (M
dated 31.12.58

The discrepancies pointed out are
clarified as under:-

Scheme III

The information regarding allotmen
of plots to 38 families is furnished in
column 6 (d) of the statement enclose
with scheme No. I. It is also stati
therein as to how 42 plots are propos
to be distributed among 38 families

Scheme No. III. A

The figure 275 which has be
incorrectly typed instead of 750, ha
now been corrected.

Schemes ~~III~~ VI under both Appendixe
V & VI

The costs of (1) Village Chavdi
2) Community Hall - Samaj Mandir cum-
library building and (3) Society
building are proposed to be met
from other available funds if any
in due course of time and hence
the said costs have not been inclu
in the amount shown under "Cost

Government" which is apparently meant to be met from the Project Fund

As regards the proposals regarding Schemes under items (d) Cross drainage works in the lay out, (h) one tank or Gokatte for the cattle and also one for irrigation if possible and (j) Medical facilities, I write to state as follows:

(d) Cross drainage works in the lay out are included in the Scheme

III Lay out of plots.

(h) There are already tanks in the vicinity of the proposed Colonies which will serve the purpose.

(j) The population of Kyadikop Colony is not much and hence the providing of medical facilities is not justifiable. There is already a DLB dispensary at Nalgi which is at a distance of about 1½ miles from Gungsur and so, it will serve the purpose of the Colony at Gungsur. A dispensary building which is proposed to be provided at Kamanalli was inadvertantly not shown in the Scheme It has now been shown.

Suitable corrections have been made wherever necessary in view the clarification of the discrepancies ~~pointed~~ pointed out.

The papers of the case accompany.

Asst Commr & Dist

Original in file No 36 IRI 57

Copy of letter No. 275/P dated 12th June 1957 from the Chief Engineer, PWD, Major Irrigation (North) to the Secretary to Govt. Public Works Department, Bangalore.

Sub: Sanction to the establishment for the Dharma Project Construction Division.

Ref: Your letter No. PWD 36 IRI 57 dated 8th June 1957.

I have to inform you that the Scheme has been administratively approved by the former Government of Bombay vide Resolution No. M.I.P. 2952 dated 31st May 1955.

On receipt of the approval to the Scheme from the Planning Commission, technical sanction has been communicated to the Superintending Engineer, Karnatak Irrigation Circle, Dharwar.

For the execution of this Scheme, an amount of Rs. 10 lakhs exists for the current year, and it is proposed to push on with the work of execution. If at this stage the examination of the Scheme has to be made by the Standing Technical Advisory Committee, considerable time is likely to be spent, before execution could be taken up. Due to this not only the scheme would be delayed, but also the grant given for the year would remain unspent.

Therefore, I am of the opinion that this Scheme need not be placed before the Standing Technical Advisory Committee, for necessary scrutiny.

Sanction to the establishment, as asked for in this office letter No. 3435/ES dated 15-5-1957, may please be communicated very early, such that the work may be taken on hand.

'Copy'

Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

8/10/57

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Read-

Government Order No.PW 7957-71/KRS 11-53-6 dated 28-11-1953 reconstituting the Standing Technical Advisory Committee for a period of two years with effect from 1-12-1953 with the personnel and functions noted therein.

2. Government Order No.PWA 7381-96/KRS 9-53-4 dated 1-12-1953 reconstituting the Committee for a further period of 2 years from 1-12-1955 with the personnel noted therein.

ORDER NO.PWD3 SAC 57

BANGALORE, DATED, TH 5th JULY 1957.

In partial modification of the Government Order read above, it is directed that the Committee's scrutiny may be confined to projects and works costing over Rs. 25 lakhs and the Chief Engineer concerned with each such project be designated as the authority responsible for securing consultation with the Committee at the appropriate stage.

By order and in the name of the Governor,

Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

To

The CE (General) etc. etc.

Copy'

653—4,00,000 7-50—K9*
G. R., G. D., No. 2403, dated 22-6-22.]

DOCKET SHEET

Subject:— Dharma Storage Reservoir Project near Yemgalli in North Kanara District.

File No. MIP 2952

Public Works DEP

I.O. BRANCH

References:—

File No. 1834/36

No.	To whom sent	Notes and instructions.
1		

Letter

No.D.I.(7)

To

Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Dharwar, 6th Feb.,1952.

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject:- Storage Reservoir Project on Dharma river near Yemgalli, Taluka Hangal, Dist:Dharwar.

x p. 193 of file No K34/26 put up.

Reference: Correspondence resting with this office No.D.I.(7) dated 24-12-1951.

A rough project for the above scheme estimated to cost Rs.64,05,347 is submitted herewith.

2. The general project report explains the scope of the Scheme and gives in brief the broad technical outlines of the project.

3. The problem of evolving a suitable design for the waste weir and the downstream protective works presented certain difficulties as a result of the unfavourable foundations at the proposed Yemagalli site. The possible alternative designs as enumerated in the project report were considered. The chute design for the spillway suggested by the Director, C.W.I.N.R. Station, Khadakwasla....

Khadakwasla is adopted in the estimate. But the design & cost of the shaft spillway deserve to be worked out in greater detail and the Executive Engineer, Irrigation Projects Division(South), Dharwar, is being asked to attend to the matter.

Accompaniments
4. files

Superintending Engineer,K.I.C.

MIP 2951
2952

12952

MIP 2952/12952.

P. W. D.

Dharma Storage Reservoir Project near Yemgalli.

Submitted.

2. The S.E., K.I.C. has submitted a rough project estimated to cost Rs.64,05,347/- for the Storage Reservoir Project on Dharma river near Yemgalli village in North Kanara District. The scheme envisages the construction of an earthen dam at Yemgalli across Dharma river to store about 784 M.C.Ft. of water for feeding the existing Dharma Right Bank Canal. The principal features of the project are as under :-

A. General.

1.	Name of River.	Dharma
2.	Location of dam site.	Near Yemgalli village in North Kanara District.
3.	Catchment area of River above dam site.	37.75 Sq. Miles.
4.	Average annual rain fall in catchment.	60 Inches.
5.	Safe annual run-off.	1367 M.C.Ft.
6.	Storage Capacity of Reservoir above sill.	784 M.C.Ft.

B. Dam.

7.	Type of dam.	Earthen dam (Rolled fill).
8.	Length of dam.	4750 Ft.
9.	Maximum height of dam above river bed.	66 Feet.
10.	Top width of dam.	20 Feet.
11.	Level of lowest point in river bed.	1879.0
12.	Sill level of the outlet.	1902.0
13.	F.S.L.of the Reservoir.	1931.0
14.	High flood level of the Reservoir.	1937.00
15.	Level of top of dam.	1945.00

C. Outlet.

16.	Type.	R.C.C.Circular pipe outlet
17.	Number and size.	2 Nos. of 5 ft. diameter.
18.	Discharge.	400 cusecs.

D.Waste-Weir ...

D. Waste-Weir.

19.	Type of waste weir.	Chute spillway with a cement concrete lined tail channel.
20.	Length of Waste-Weir.	240 feet.
21.	Maximum flood discharge as per Inglis' formula.	41,000 cusecs.
22.	Maximum flood discharge as per Bauie's curve form which the waste-weir id designed.	25,000 cusecs.

E. Canal.

23.	(i) Length of mai canal.	17 miles.
	(ii) Length of branches.	18 miles.
24.	Existing capacity at the head of canal.	270 cusecs.
25.	Gross commanded area.	14,500 acres.
26.	Irrigable area.	14,000 acres.
27.	Crops grown.	Mostly paddy.

F. Financial Aspect.

28.	Total cost of dam and appurtenant works.	Rs.64,05,347
29.	Cost of carrying out improvements to the existing Dharma Canal System.	Rs. 2,07,642.
30.	Present capitalized cost of the existing Dharma Canal System.	Rs. 97,832.
31.	Total outlay on the project.	Rs.67,10,821.
32.	Cost per acre of irrigated ~~acres~~ area.	Rs. 452.
33.	Net revenue realised.	Rs. 1,08,500.
34.	Percentage return on Capital outlay.	1.6 per cent.
35.	Cost per M.C.Ft. of Storage.	Rs. 8,17(

3. If the project is in order, it may be administratively approved after u.o.r. to the D.D. and the F.D. It is not included in the Five-Year Plan of the

Planning Commission and will be taken up for execution in due course when its turn comes up.

x Br. and
Through B.E.(I)

4/3/52

The S.E. K.I.C. has submitted rough project estimating to Rs 6405 347 for a storage dam across Dharma River at Yamagalli for administrative approval.

2. BVC. 4/3/52

D.S (I&E).

The principle features of the design are

1). Top Rl. 1945
H.F.L. 1937
F.S.L. 1931
C.E. Storage 784 mcft

Secy.

2). Type of dam :- Earthen

3). Max Run off
25000 Cusecs as per Beale's Cur
41000 Cusecs as per Inglis's formula

4) Type of W.W.
Chute spill way type - The dam in addition to the waste weir provides a breaching section to pass a discharge of 16000 cusecs in case of emergency at a water level of 1937 above F.S.L.

5) Capacity of Canal

270 Cusecs towards
400 Cusecs towards

6) Command

1450 Area Command
1400 Irrigable area

As there is no suitable site for a solid masonry dam an Earthen dam to suit the available foundations has been worked out. The soils are suitable for the earthen dam. Shri G. G. Dhanak B.E. G.S. On Nasik has inspected the dam site and has suggested a typical section for the Earthen dam. The material available after the site is also tested at Gangapur

The proposed design slightly differs from the one suggested by Shri Dhanak as under

a) The U/S and D/S slopes now adopted in the design are steeper than those proposed in the typical section suggested by Shri Dhanak

b) The present design provides for a flatter slope of 1/10 at the

1903 i.e. at cut ~~out~~ lift sill level as an effective blanket against seepage through the dam in the gorge portion

The other suggestions made by Shri Dhanak are

i) Central cut off puddle trench

ii) A filter on the D/S half of the dam section for proper drainage

and iii) No puddle core.

These suggestions are followed in the present design

The SE has ... stability calculations for the Earthen dam which are newly as the slopes do not follow the type section proposed by Shri Dhanak.

'Waste Weir

The ~~type~~ type of waste weir suggested by Shri Dhanak was "Shaft spill way type" SE however thinks that the Chute spillway type will be more economical and suitable in the present case. He has also ~~cut~~ Consulted the Director Central waterways Irrigation Navigation & Research station Poona. He has also made a provision of Rs 30,000/

in the estimate to further study the problem before final design and details are adopted. This proposal may be accepted

The waste weir was proposed previously for a max discharge of 25000 cusecs with flood absorption capacity. The length of waste weir is 240 ft with a flood depth of 6'. The data of flood discharges observed at Shrirangi Weir so far is of the order of 5458 cusecs and a max Q as per Dyle's formula is not expected. However ~~in case of~~ to cope up with the unexceptional flood of 41000, a provision of breaching Section and a channel to pass the extra discharge [41000 - 25000] is made in the present estimate at a depth of 6.5 ft above F.S. Level This may also be approved.

Capacity Of Canal

The max irrigable Command of the Canal is only 14000 acres and with a duty of 55 as proposed by S.E. a capacity of 257 will be quite sufficient. The canal

will have only seasonal crop and mainly rice. The capacity of 400 cusecs proposed by S.E. appears high.

Silting Capacity

The catchment mainly woody and flat. The lands on the river banks are terraced and as such very little silt is expected. Provision of 28.6 mcft at R.L 1902 is made and may also be approved.

Outlet Sluices

Two 5' dia R.C.C pipes are provided to pass a max discharge of 400 cusecs at a head of ~~8~~ 5' above sill. Even if the channel is not designed to pass a Q of 400 cusecs the provision of outlet sluices may be retained. The opening arrangement is provided on the inside slope of the dam.

The design and its details appear to be in order and may be approved. It is however uncertain when the project will be taken up for construction.

23/3

D.S. (Irr)

The project is not quite attractive for more than one reason. It envisages irrigation of an area already under Irrigation — some 5000 Ac additional Area is contemplated. + assurance of water to this (15000 Ac) area after monsoon flows in the River.

CE

Dam — back formation. (please ref. Dhanak's Note — on the project) Shri Dhanak has also suggested a little section for the East Wall of the Dam, which is slightly modified by the C.W.I.P. in the project. The analysis of soils etc. does not seem to have been done — This shd be done and the Stability of the Section also adopted in the project tested.

Secy

Waste Weir. Is also an important and difficult problem in the case. which requires further examination re-designate. Length of the Weir is of 240' feet (takes into cicel the flood above Capacity of the Dam). I hate for Spare Gates to shd be ignored and sit ok against any unusual flows. A breach Section be also been provided.

General. The project as a whole requires more careful Study & examination both with regard to cost & design

As an alternate, Can we keep existing pucka weir at Chirfari be raised and some storage be created for the post-monsoon season say for one more Watch in the paddy crops? This may be Considered and examined.

I have in general discussed the

project with the C.E. and he is likely to visit town this Area in May 52 and examine the Scheme in greater detail.

As it is not urgent, the project may be returned by the S.E.K.I.C with general remarks as above.

(The S.E.K.I.C may also be requested to send one Copy of the project report to the E.E. G.S.D. Nasik (Shri Bhandari for general study + ...)

SC
30/3/52.

DS (IE

(C.E)

This Dharma Canal Irrigation Project should be sent to the S.E. K.I.C for obtaining the site for Dharma Canal storage to Dirk Savage

2
7.11.52

Shp
10/11

Detailed Remarks on the Project

I Dam

(i) The freeboard provided is 8' above H.F.L, a f.b. of 7 feet should do.

(ii) but the trench. The proposed 13 ft from this is 20 feet and might economically be reduced to 12 feet or so. (Breadth of puddle trench = 1/10 ht of dam + 3 feet as per PWD Hdbk)

(iii) The pitch provided is upto RL 1943 might be reduced atleast by about a foot or so & same cost

(iv) Such tests to be made & section checked before adopting model experiments to be made and design finalised

III Canal (R)

Is 400 cusec capacity reqd. and necessary for the canal? S.E Shd. Reexamine. Abt 300 cusec. Shd do. (pp 35) of rpt 10% losses assumed is obviously too small

IV Costs

Some of the rates in the Abstract appear high — & may be reconsidered.

Item (7) Dry rubble from Toe @ 27/8/- cost upto 1 lakh 2 trusts

(9) filling crushed granite @ 40/- — " 2½ "

hg
31/3

+ 15

I would like to see the papers regarding Dharma Irrigation Scheme in Dharwar District.

14-8-52

M.(L.S.G.)

M.(P.W.D.)

P.A.

Arrange to get the file

16/8/52

J.(A.S.) P.W.D. may kindly arrange to get the file very early.

16/8

Library office will please send the file by return post.

16/8/52

V. wks

J.R.

18/8/52

Cont. M.

15)

MIP 2952

P.W.D.

Submitted with ref. to the notes at p. 1 ante.

2. The file rg. Dharma Irgn. Scheme, has been obtained from the C.E. & is placed below.

3 After perusal it will be returned to the C.E. to offer his technical remarks.

20-8-52

21/8/52

20/8 M. P.W.D.

M. L.S.G. on p. 11 C.E. may pay visit to this area, and examine this project. I am informed that the irrigation facilities are likely to increase even by widening the canal. This question also may be examined

4-9-52

M. P.W.D.

5/9

C.E. should see the minute of the Min L.S.G. and visit the place as desired and do the needful and report

9/52

19

Letter
No.D.I.7

Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Dharwar, 27 Nov. 1952.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department.
Bombay.

Subject:- Storage Reservoir Project on Dharma River near Yamgalli Taluka Hangal, Dist:Dharwar.

Reference: Government Letter P.W.D.No. GKP 1552-I, dated 7-11-1952.

× p. 59 of file C KP 1552
Separately under submission
(will be put up if req'd)

The question was discussed with Dr.Savage and the Chief Engineer(Irrigation) on 13-11-1952. Tentatively two proposals were made for the waste weir:

i) One was the Central Overflow spillway founded on schist

& ii) the second was provision of sluices in the river gorge portion.

These were considered better than the chute spillway.

Fresh proposals, in this connection, will be formulated on receipt of Dr.Savage's report.

2. The Secretariat files received from Government are returned herewith. However, 4 files containing the Plan and estimates, for the above scheme, are retained in this office for dealing with the Secretariat remarks and also for consideration of modifications that may be suggested by Dr.Savage.

Accompts:
1. File No.1834/36
2. Two Docket sheets No.MIP 2952 P.W.D J Branch.

kept below

Superintending Engineer,K.I.C.

24/11/52

27

No. D.I.7

Office of the Superintending Engineer,
Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Dharwar (Post Box No.28),
23rd January 1953.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department, Bombay-1.

Subject:- Dharma River Project.

P.21 Reference: Government expeditor, P.W.D., No. M.I.P.2952-J, dated the 12th January 1953.

Bores are being taken to ascertain the depth at which sound rock would be available in the river bed at Yemagalli and Laxmipur sites and alternative proposals will have to be considered on receipt of the Bore results. In the meanwhile, if you desire to give any instructions, they may please be sent.

Superintending Engineer, K.I.C.

BR/22-1.

24/1/53

MIP 2952/115379

P.W.D.

J Br.

Submitted for p. 19 ante in turnstile.

2. Receipt of fresh proposals may be awaited from the S.E., K.I.C.

Thro: 32(E)

8/12/52

11/12

S.S(HE)

11/12

C.E.(I)

12.12.52

J Br.

P.W.D.

The S.E., K.I.C. expedited vide No. MIP 2952 J dated the 12-1-53.

ISSUED 12/1/53 NB

Dupdt.

12/1/53

12/1/53

Asst. 1/1/53

12/1/53

P.23

Submitted for information and orders if any.

Thro': D.E. (I)

29/1/53

S.(I+E) for info — Results may be awaited

C.E. (I) No orders.

2.2.53.

No.D.I.7. Office of the Superintending Engineer,
Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Dharwar: Post Box No.28.
Dated:- 4th February 1953.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject:- Extract from the note dated the 29th December 1947, of the Parliamentary Secretary to the Hon.Minister, Revenue Department regarding repairs and extension of the Dharma Canal in Hangal Taluka and Madag Masur Canal Scheme.

Reference:- Government Letter P.W.D.Endorsement No.S 23/871-I.B.(II), of 8-1-1948.

YEMAGALLI RESERVOIR SCHEME.

(i) The proposals for constructing a storage dam across the Dharma river at Yemagalli are before Government vide correspondent resting with this office No.D.I.7 of 23-1-1953 in this connection.

(ii) At present, the Dharma Canal functions only as a monsoon canal since only the river flow is diverted by the Shringeri weir. It irrigates now about 9500 acres of paddy crop. As this area is in the Malnad tract of Dharwar District where the annual rainfall is of the order of about 35 inches or so, the rice crop will need only about two waterings. In years of less rainfall, all the rice crop will not some times get second watering which is needed in September and October. With the construction of the Yemagalli storage, not only this area now irrigated will get an assured water supply even for the second watering but an additional area to the extent of about 4500 acres of paddy will also be brought under Irrigation.

2.MADAG MASUR CANAL SCHEME:

(i) It appears that the scheme referred to pertains to extension of the Right Bank Canal only. With the existing head works, only a maximum discharge of 35 cusecs can be drawn through the tunnel outlet which supplies water to both the left as well as the Right Bank canals. With a view, therefore, to utilising all this available discharge for irrigation, it is proposed to extend only the Right Bank Canal upto 8½ miles. Further extension is not possible, being controlled by the discharge through this outlet.

(ii) Accordingly a project, for the Madag Right Bank Canal extension scheme estimated to cost Rs.52516/-, for extending the canal upto 8½ miles to irrigate an additional area of about 470 acres, has been submitted under this office No.M.I.4/Kod of 14-1-1953. On completion of this project the total irrigation will be about 1170 acres (i.e. 700 acres existing plus 470 acres additional under the Right Bank Canal).

(iii) A more ambitious scheme for extending irrigation appears to be feasible if the storage of the tank is increased and both the canals are widened and extended upto 22 to 23 miles. But this would involve submergence of

fertile lands.....

fertile lands to the extent of about 1500 acres in the Mysore state as the tank basin lies entirely in that state. The matter will have to be negotiated with the Mysore State.

Superintending Engineer, K.I.C

VBJ/4-.

MIP 2952/15064

P.W.D.
TJ.

These papers deal with the question of constructing a storage reservoir project on Dharma river near Yemagalli in Dharwar District.

2. Please see para 1 of the S.E., K.I.C's letter at p.27 ante. Attention is also requested to the minutes of the M., L.S.G., and M.,P.W.D., at p.17 ante and the subsequent note of the C.E.(I) at p. 11 ante wherein the C.E.(I) has directed that the case should be sent to the S.E., K.I.C. for showing the site for Dharma Canal storage to Dr.Savage. As the site has been visited by Dr. Savage and his report in the matter has since been received the C.E.(I) may kindly offer his remarks on the Project as desired by the Minister.

Copy with C.E.

3. The question regarding the Malaprabha Right Bank Canal scheme refd. to in para 2 of the S.E's letter is being dealt with separately in file No. MIT 1953/15815.

Thro D.E(I).

KSN.
19-2-53.

19/2/53

20/2

The Project appears a costly one, but however the same may be pptd by SE for consideration. Ltd. 21/2

D.S. (P+E)

C.E. (I)

The report of Dr. Savage is being considered. 2 24.2.53

Secy.

23/2

P.W.I

26/2/53

L.S.C

26-2-53

28/2/53.

M I Page

g1-7 (Pw.1)

Resubmitted with ref. to The C.E (I)'s note overleaf

2 The C.E.(I) will kindly offer his remarks on Dharma Canal Storage after considering Dr. Savage's report.

5/1/53

In the report received from Dr. Savage, there are no remarks about Dharma reservoir. Ask the S.E. K.I.C whether in the copy of the report there is anything about Dharma Canal. Otherwise a ref. will have to be made to Dr. Savage

C.E.(I)

2.7.53

T.B.-

Resubmitted

2. In view of the C.E.(I)'s note above, the S.E. K.I.C. may be addressed as per draft put up for approval.

Tho' D.E.(I)

6/7/53

Very Urgent
No. MIP. 2962 - J

Letter 31

N. W. N.,
B. C. (Bibay No. 1)
4 July 53

To

The S. E., K. I. C

Subject: Dharma Storage Reservoir.
Project

Reference: Correspondence ending with your letter No. D I 7 dated the 4-2-53.

I am directed to request you to state whether there are any remarks about the Dharma reservoir in the report which Dr. J. L. Savage has sent to you.

Yours obediently etc

Asst. Secy. to the Govt. of B., P.W.D

Issued
5-8-53

D.A. Nil.

J.N.

The S.E.R.I.C expedited under No. M1P.295-2-J, dt. 10-10-53

9/10/13

Sripathi.

MIP 2952/15064

P.W.D.

J.Br.

The S. E, K.D.C. may be expedited
P.35
as per draft express letter put up for approval.

E.To.
18.12.53

18-12-53

A.S.(FB)

Express Letter

From :— Bomworks, Bbay.

To — The S. E, ~~K~~ E

P. W. D.,

No. MIP 9952-J

B. C. (Bbay No. 1)

19th Dec. 1953

Pl. refer to the Correspondence ending with G. M. No. MIP 9952-J, P. 32 dated the 10-10-53, regarding Dharma Storage Reservoir Project, and subm your report at a very early date.

ISSUED
Date 21/12

ETO. 18-12-53.

Supdt, P. W. D.

19/12/53 Sh M 19/12

T.B.a.

Resubmitted with a draft for approval

25/4/84

D.S.(A&E)

24/5/84

D.O.No. MIP. 2952 - J 37

P. W. D. Secty
B'bay No. 1,
26th Feb. 54

Please refer to G. L. No. MIP. 2952-J. P. 31
dt. the 4-8-53 and subsequent reminder
ending with Govt. Exp. letter of even P. 31.
number dt. the 19-12-53 regarding
Dharma storage Reservoir Project and
arrange to furnish the requisite
information without further delay.

Yours.

Shri A. G. Naik, B.E., B.S.E.(I), (P. R. Joshi)
S.E., K.I.C.,
Dharwar.

23/2/54

D.O. No. MIP 2952 J.

Public Works Department,
Secretariat,
Bombay No.1, 26th February 1954.

Dear Shri Naik

Please refer to Government Letter No.MIP 2952 J, dated the 4th August 1953, and subsequent reminders ending with Government Express letter of even number dated the 19th December 1953. regarding Dharma Storage Reservoir Project, and arrange to furnish the requisite information without further delay.

Yours sincerely

(P.R. Joshi)

Shri A.G. Naik, B.E.,B.S.E.(I),
Superintending Engineer,
Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
DHARWAR.

2.
KR.
26.2.54.

ISSUED
Date 27/2

Letter

No.D.I.7

Karnatak Irrigation Circle,
Post Box No.28,
Dharwar, 9-3-54.

To

The Chief Engineer to Government,
(Irrigation)Public Works Department,
Bombay.

Subject:- Storage Reservoir Project on Dharma River near Yemagalli, Taluka Hangal District Dharwar.

P.19

Reference: This office letter No.D.I.7 dated the 27th November 1952.

In resubmitting herewith the Dharma Reservoir Project estimated to cost Rs.72,50,600, I have to state as follows:-

2. Further investigations to ascertain the foundation conditions for a Central Masonry Overflow Spillway or a sluice spill way revealed that sound hard schist rock would be available at a depth of about 43 feet in the river gorge and at a depth of about 75 to 90 feet in the flanks. As a result of detailed examinations of these proposed alternative types of surplussing arrangements, it was found that in either case of spillway, the overall cost of the project would exceed that of storage dam with a chute spillway by about Rs.25 lacs. So, the surplussing arrangement of a chute spillway has been finally adopted in this project.

3. The observations made on this project by the Secretariat have been attended to as mentioned hereinafter.

(i) Stability of earth dam profile:

The proposed earth dam section has been tested for stability by the "Slip Circle" method. The proposed slopes are stable. A note on the test by this method is now enclosed with the project papers.

(ii) Capacity of Canal -

The present capacity of the existing Right Bank Canal is 270 cusecs. It is sufficient to irrigate the entire area of 14000 acres. No modification in the Canal Capacity is, therefore, envisaged in this project.

(iii) Consideration of flood absorption capacity in the design of the waste weir -

If, as suggested in the Secretariat Noting, the effect of flood absorption in the tank is not considered, the length of the spillway will be considerably more and the cost of the spillway will naturally increase.

It is reported that the maximum flood flow observed during the last 50 years at Shringeri weir 6 miles downstream of the proposed dam site, is not exceeding 13700 cusecs.

The designed capacity of the waste weir after....

after accounting for flood absorption is 25,000 cusecs. The breaching section has a discharging capacity of 16,000 cusecs. So, the surplussing capacity provided in this project is more or less on the conservative side. Hence, it is not considered necessary to modify the design of the waste ~~xxxx~~ weir, on this consideration.

(iv) Raising the Shringeri Weir for obtaining the storage.

(a) The present structure of the weir is not of pucca construction and so it cannot be raised.

(b) If a storage is to be secured at this site, a masonry dam will have to be built. This proposal would be costlier than the storage scheme at Yemagalli for the following reasons.

(1) Though the foundation conditions as regards the nature and depth of rock are similar at both the sites, the length of the dam will be longer at the Shringeri site.

(2) Submergence of a greater area of rich paddy lands.

For these reasons, raising of the Shringeri weir would not be a feasible proposition.

4. On review of the project provisions, it was considered that the provisions originally made for (i) dewatering (ii) Constructional facilities and (iii) river diversion works would be inadequate. So, they have now been suitably increased before resubmission.

5. This project will not only assure water supply to the existing irrigated area of about 9000 acres of paddy and thus ensure against crop losses but will also extend irrigation to an additional area of 5000 acres. The agriculturists in the area know fully the benefits of irrigation. If this scheme is executed, it will meet the long felt demand of the people.

6. In the circumstances, it is requested that Government may, in your concurrence, be kindly moved to accord administrative approval to the Scheme.

Accompts:-
4 files of the project.

Superintending Engineer, K.I.C.

W. D. M1P459 Serial No. 33458

MIP 2952/33458

P.W.D.
" T "

The S.E., K.I.C. has submitted the Dharma Reservoir Project estimated to cost Rs. 72,50,600/- for favour of according administrative approval to the Scheme.

2. The S.E. states that the project was discussed with Dr. Savage and the C.E.(I) on 13-11-52 and the following two proposals were made for the waste weir :-

(i) One was the central overflow spill way founded on schist.

and

(ii) the second was provision of sluices in the river Gorge Portion.

These were considered better than the Chute spillway. The S.E. now states that as a result of detailed examinations of these proposed alternative types of surplussing arrangements it was found that in either case of spillway the overall cost of the project would exceed that of storage Dam with a Chute spillway by about Rs. 25 lakhs. So, the surplussing arrangement of a chute spillway has been finally adopted in this project. The observations made in the notes at pp. 5-13 ante have been attended to as mentioned in Para. 3 of the S.Es. letter. The provisions originally made in the Project for (i) dewatering (ii) Constructional facilities and (iii) river diversion works, appear to be inadequate and have now been suitably increased. In addition to the assurance of water to the existing irrigated area of about 9,000 acres of Paddy, the project will extend irrigation to an area of about 5,000 acres more.

3. The plans and estimates if in order, may be

approved .....

approved administratively after U.O.R. to the F.D. The work is likely to be included in the Second Five Year Plan.

Thro' X Br. & D.E.(I)

18/3/54

Sindri 19.3

The following observations are made

~~Capacity of the tank~~

Design

D.S.(I+E)

One great draw back of the scheme which came in the way of execution of the scheme in the past and which even now continues constitutes is the poor foundation conditions. The soil is not suitable for a Masonry dam. An earthen dam of 66' ~~high~~ height above the river bed is designed. The question of providing a suitable surplussing arrangement within a reasonable cost needed thorough investigation. A chute spill way design has been suggested in consultation with the Director of Central water power, Irrigation and Navigation Research station at Khadakwasla Poona, at a cost of Rs 5,94,189. Before actual execution detailed experiments are proposed to be carried out. A provision of Rs 39,000 has therefore been made in the

C.E.(I)

KKW/18-3-54.
2.

Secy.
H.O.

present estimate

CE (9) and Dr Savage of U.S.A visited the site in Nov 1952 and suggested that deep trial bores should be taken in the gorge portion to see if foundation conditions would be favourable for adopting a central masonry overflow spillway or sluice spillway as an alternative to chute spillway. According 6 trial bores have been taken [vide core charts ~~of~~ drawings no. 3A-1/19 and 3A-2/19]. The results of the bores reveal that hard ~~Shi~~ Schist rock would be available at a depth of 43' in the gorge portion and at 75 to 90' in the flanks. The question of both the alternatives was worked in detail and it was seen that the cost of storage would increase by Rs 25 lakhs as compared to the cost of dam with chute spillway

The soil samples were tested at Ganga Cauvery laboratory and a suitable type section with rock fills on the D/S has been suggested. The present work is designed on the above basis

The proposed earthern section

has been tested by "slip circle method" vide page 83 to 89 of the Report.

Free board

Top of Dam R.L. 1945
H.F.S. 1937
F.S.L 1931

A free board of 8' is provided for the height of dam 66' from bed. P.W.D. H.B. specifies this to be 7' whereas actual formula gives the same as under :-

Fetch of the reservoir is 3.6 nautical miles. This works out the wave height to

$$h_w = 0.17\sqrt{VF} + 2.5 - \sqrt[4]{F}$$

(vide page 274 Engineering for dam by W.P. Creager Joel D Justin and Julian Hinds)

The same formula reduces to

$h_w = 0.17\sqrt{VF}$ for F greater than 2 miles.

The formula adopted in the report is

$$h_w = 1.5\sqrt{F} + 2.5 - \sqrt[4]{F}$$

P.W.D. H.B. Vol II page 814)

$h_w = 4'$ in the present case.

A free board of more than 6' may not be necessary. As however the max seen at is adopted as per Beale's curve which is not the max. the SE's proposal to have 8' free board

to meet all contingencies may be accepted.

I agree
1814

Surplussing arrangements

A chute spill way 240' wide is provided ~~to cope~~ with the max discharge of 25000 cusecs as per Beale's curve with a flood ~~lift~~ lift of 6' inclusive of flood absorption capacity of the lands ~~is adopted~~

Shri M.S. Chakravarty the then D.S. (S&I) had suggested that for small lands flood absorption capacity may be neglected. The S.E. states that if this is adopted, the W.W. design may be costly.

Max discharge as per Inglis formula is 41000 cusecs. To meet with any such contingencies a breading section on the right bank is provided at a cost of Rs 2,89,549

The max discharge at Shringeri weir about 4 miles below the Dam site so far observed is only 5458 cusecs the SE's proposals may therefore be approved.

I agree
1814

Capacity of the lands

The catchment area of the lands is 37.55 sq miles and the intensity

of rain fall between 40" & 60". A seen off data for the last 39 years from 1909 to 1937 has been given at pages 29-30 of the report.

It is proposed to irrigate 14000 acres under the tank which consists mostly of rice. The requirements of water are worked out to 1375 mcft. Water requirements of crops in Sept – Oct for which the proposed reservoir is to be constructed has to store 784 mcft

Canal Design

The capacity of the present Canal is 270 cusecs and is sufficient to irrigate all the lands. No remodelling is considered necessary. A provision of Rs 16000 is made to provide ~~additional water~~ for extension of distributaries & minors @ Rs 30 per acre. The same may be accepted. The outlets have been provided to pass a discharge of 400 cusecs by 2 openings each 5' dia in the dam.

Diversion Roads

Two road diversions are involved

i) Havanur – Yekambi Road

ii) Kumta – Hubli road mile 51/3 to 53/6

As regards item (1) the existing bridge is proposed to be raised.

As for ii) the whole length of road is diverted. In this case it is pointed out that the embankment consists of only soil. The question of rubble soling as per CE (9)'s circular may be considered. It is also presumed that the metalled road width is the same as for the existing road.

Rubble soling is meant for those places where the bed of bank exceeds 30' e.g. across the Surgana Nala. There will be standing water on both sides of the bank. Slopes provided are 1½:1. The bank is all soil. 2 to 1 slopes appear desirable. 1,8/14

Land acquisition

The provision is based on an suggestion of R. Authorities and may be accepted 1,8/14

Estimate General.

The % charges for establishment and T&P should be 1.6 & 2 instead of 2.4 & 3 as proposed 1,8/14

Financial Forecast

The cost of the project is Rs 72,50,600 and net outlay on the work will be Rs 75,56,074. As assured water supply will be available after construction of the storage; the work can be classified as 1st class

irrigation work and the question of levying (a) Irrigation Cess and (b) betterment levy can be considered.

The plans and estimates are generally in order and may be administrative approved.

6/4/54

18/4/54 DS (JRE)

Administrative approval may be given.

5.1.55

CE(I)

Secy.

7/1

237/55

GOVERNMENT
Date 14-1-55
Serial No. 3699

Ltr to DD DT. 13-1-55 SH

With Plans + Ests.

P.W.D. MDP 2952 J.

P.W.D.

The proposal may be agreed to

20.1.55

Baker
Arthur
Shri

21.1.55

22/1

F.D.

F.S.(F)

P.W.D.

MDP 2952

12/56

J

P.W.D.

The F.D. having agreed, administrative approval may now be accorded to the plans and estimates of the Storage Reservoir Project on Dharma River near Jamagalli as per draft U.O. put up for approval. Contage charges are calculated at 18% (16% establishment + 2% T&P) as against the 27% calculated by the S.E.

× calculation sheet kept below

Thro. ⊗ I Br. A.E. X Br. ⊗ D.F.(C)

⊗ for information
△ Req. funds

3-2-55

2. The charge is debitable to the budget head '68-Constn. of Irrgn., etc'. There is no provision for this work in the current year's budget. The

D.F.(C) ⊗
C.E.(C) ⊗
M.(P.W.D.)

is not proposed for the current years budget estimates also. The SE may be informed that the work shd. be taken up only after necessary funds are provided therefor. If this is approved, para 2 added to the draft G.R. may remain.

10/2/55.

11/2/55

13/2

D.S. (SE)

I wd like to speak to MPWD before issuing orders gtd. approval

CE. (S) & Dy. Sec.

M (P.W.D)

17.3.55

19-3-55

19/3

I discussed
I.B will please check

Dy Secy
17/3/55

J. Rao.

Storage Reservoir
Project on Dharm
River near Yamagal
Tal. Hangal, Dist
Dharwar —
Administrative
approval to the-

Govt of Bombay.

Public Works Deptt

Resolution No. MSP2952

B.C. (Bombay No. I) 31st May 1955

Letter Read S.E. Proc. 41-42

No. D.9.7 dt. the 9-3-52.
from the S.E., K.I.C. Belgaum
Circle)

RESOLUTION :— Administrative approval is accorded to the Plans and Estimates, the latter amounting to Rs. ~~6,70,533~~ 6,35,151 (Rs. ~~5,38,255~~ 56,59,351/- works portion and Rs. ~~162,278~~ 1075800/- for centage charge at 18% plus other direct and indirect charges) in respect of the Storage Reservoir Project on Dharma River near Yamagalli, Tal Hangal Dist. Dharwar, subject to the remark that suitable solings should be provided to the Kumta-Hubli Road mile 51/3 to 53/6.

2. The charge is debitable to the budget head '68- Constn. of Irrign. etc.' The work shd. be taken up for execution only after the necessary funds therefor are provided in the budget.

By order etc.

S. to Govt

class 'C'

To

The F.D.

The ~~A.G.~~ B'Bay (thro the F.D)

The C.E. (9)

The S.E., K.G.C. (with the P.s & Es)

The P.A. to M (P.W.D.)

The P.A. to Dy.M. (P.W.D)

3-2-55

Dharwa Storage Reservoir Scheme near Yamagalli

Sub-Estimate	I	Earthen Dam	32,91,076 |-
—	II	Waste Weir	5,94,189 |-
—	III	Breaching section	2,89,549 |-
—	IV	Outlet & Outlet channel	1,00,929 |-
—	V	Road Diversion	3,45,015 |-
—	VI	Extension of Distributary System	66,000 |-
		Total	46,86,758 |-
		Add contingencies at 5%	2,34,338 |-
		Special tools & plants	2,00,000 |-
		Total (cost of works)	51,21,096 |-
		Land compensation as per Sub-estimate No. VII	5,38,255 |-
		Establishment charges @ 16% on cost of works	8,19,375 |-
		Tools & Plants charges @ 2% on cost of works	1,02,422 |-
		Capitalization of abatement of land revenue @ 25 time assessment (159 x 1-8-0 = 239)	59,775 |-
		Pension and leave charges @ 5 1/4 % on establishment charges	43,017 |-
		Audit charges @ 1% on cost of works and land compensation	~~56,593~~ 51,211 |-
		Grand Total	~~67,40,533~~ 67,35,151

Storage Reservoir Project on
Dharma River near Yemagalli:
Taluka Hangal, District Dharwar:
Administrative approval
to the -.

Government of Bombay.
Public Works Department.
Resolution No.MIP 2952.
Bombay Castle (Bombay No.1),
31st May 1955.

Letter No.D.I.7, dated the 9th March 1954 from the Superintending Engineer, Karnatak Irrigation Circle as under :-

"Reference: This Office Letter No.D.I.7, dated the 27th November 1952.

In resubmitting herewith the Dharma Reservoir Project estimated to cost Rs.72,50,600/-, I have to state as follows :-

2. Further investigations to ascertain the foundation conditions for a Central Masonry Overflow Spillway or a sluice-spillway revealed that sound hard schist rock would be available at a depth of about 43 feet in the river gorge and at a depth of about 75 to 90 feet in the flanks. As a result of detailed examinations of these proposed alternative types of surplussing arrangements, it was found that in either case of spillway, the overall cost of the project would exceed that of storage dam with a chute spillway by about Rs.25 lacs. So, the surplussing arrangement of a chute spillway has been finally adopted in this project.

3. The observations made on this project by the Secretariat have been attended to as mentioned hereinafter.

(i) Stability of earth dam profile:

The proposed earth dam section has been tested for stability by the "Slip Circle" method. The proposed slopes are stable. A note on the test by this method is now enclosed with the project papers.

(ii) Capacity of Canal:

The present capacity of the existing Right Bank Canal is 270 cusecs. It is sufficient to irrigate the entire area of 14000 acres. No modification in the Canal Capacity is therefore, envisaged in this project.

(iii) Consideration of flood absorption capacity in the design of the waste weir :-

If, as suggested in the Secretariat Noting, the effect of flood absorption in the tank is not considered, the length of the spillway will be considerably more and the cost of the spillway will naturally increase.

It is reported that the maximum flood flow observed during the last 50 years at Shringeri weir 6 miles downstream of the proposed dam site, is not exceeding 13700 cusecs.

The.....

The designed capacity of the waste weir after accounting for flood absorption is 25,000 cusecs. The breaching section has a discharging capacity of 16,000 cusecs. So, the surplussing capacity provided in this project is more or less on the conservative side. Hence, it is not considered necessary to modify the design of the waste weir, on this consideration.

(iv) Raising the Shringeri Weir for obtaining the storage.

(a) The present structure of the weir is not of pucca construction and so it cannot be raised.

(b) If a storage is to be secured at this site, a masonry dam will have to be built. This proposal would be costlier than the storage scheme at Yemagalli for the following reasons :-

(1) Though the foundation conditions as regards the nature and depth of rock are similar at both the sites, the length of the dam will be longer at the Shringeri site.

(2) Submergence of a greater area of rich paddy lands.

For these reasons, raising of the Shringeri Weir would not be a feasible proposition.

4. On review of the project provisions, it was considered that the provisions originally made for (i) dewatering (ii) Constructional facilities and (iii) river diversion works would be inadequate. So, they have now been suitably increased before resubmission.

5. This project will not only assure wet water supply to the existing irrigated area of about 9000 acres of paddy and thus insure against crop losses but will also extend irrigation to an additional area of 5000 acres. The agriculturists in the area know fully the benefits of irrigation. If this scheme is executed, it will meet the long felt demand of the people.

6. In the circumstances, it is requested that Government may, in your concurrence, be kindly moved to accord administrative approval to the Scheme."

RESOLUTION:- Administrative approval is accorded to the plans and estimates, the latter amounting to Rs.67,35,151/- (Rs.56,59,351/- works portion and Rs.10,75,800/- for centage charges at 18% plus other direct and indirect charges), in respect of the Storage Reservoir Project on Dharma River near Yemagalli, Taluka Hangal, District Dharwar, subject to the remark that rubble soling should be provided to the Kumta-Hubli Road mile 51/3 to 53/6.

2. The charge is debitable to the budget head '68-Construction of Irrigation, etc.' The work should be taken up for execution only after necessary funds therefor are provided in the budget.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Bombay,

G. P. Naegamvala.
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To

The Finance Department.
The Accountant General, Bombay (through the Finance Department).
The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department (Irrigation).
The Superintending Engineer, Karnatak Irrigation Circle (with the plans and estimates).
The Personal Assistant to Minister (Public Works Department).
The Personal Assistant to Deputy Minister (Public Works Department).

Class "C".

No. of 1955.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to -

DDD.
31/5/55.
126

ISSUED
Dated

...ation with
...partment.
...ment and